



Fondo Europeo di Sviluppo Regionale
European Regional Development Fund



Verbale/Minutes

7[^] Riunione - Comitato Direttivo

7th Executive Committee meeting

10 April 2018 – Palermo

Approved on 23/04/2018

Il giorno 10 Aprile 2018 si è svolta la settima riunione del Comitato Direttivo del Programma INTERREG V-A Italia Malta, sono presenti i componenti di cui al foglio di presenza (Allegato 1). Partecipano al Comitato di Direttivo i componenti del Segretariato Congiunto.

Gianpaolo Simone, nella qualità di Presidente delegato, apre i lavori del 7° Comitato Direttivo del Programma INTERREG V-A Italia Malta, saluta i partecipanti e dà lettura dell'ordine del giorno.

1. Approvazione dell'ordine del giorno;
2. Esame e approvazione degli AF revisionati trasmessi da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con il seguente status: "progetto ammissibile al finanziamento sotto condizione" a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 1.1 e 2.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016;
3. Esame e approvazione delle risposte alle controdeduzioni trasmesse da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con il seguente status: "Progetto rigettato" e "Progetto ammissibile e non finanziabile" a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 1.1 e 2.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016;
4. Approvazione delle graduatorie definitive per la successiva trasmissione al Comitato di Sorveglianza relativamente alle proposte progettuali a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 1.1 e 2.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016;
5. Varie ed eventuali.

Gianpaolo Simone acquisisce dal Sig. Raphael Scerri apposita delega da parte della Sig.ra Maria Catania e pertanto, verificato il numero legale e il quorum necessario dei partecipanti, la riunione viene dichiarata valida e si approva l'ordine del giorno.

Si passa alla trattazione del **punto 2 - Esame e approvazione degli AF revisionati trasmessi da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con il seguente status: "progetto ammissibile al finanziamento sotto condizione" a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 1.1 e 2.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016".**

A seguito della disamina degli application form revisionati dei progetti MICRO WATTS, BYTHOS, NATIFLIFE, MEDIWARN, I-ACCESS, I.T.A.M.A. e JOB MATCH 2020, trasmessi da parte dei potenziali capofila e istruiti da parte del SC, il Comitato Direttivo ha verificato se gli stessi hanno dato seguito ai contenuti delle schede di verifica della qualità approvate dal CD. Di seguito il dettaglio della verifica effettuata sui progetti sopra elencati

Valutazione degli Application Form rimodulati

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
1.1	MICRO WATTS	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 26 th of March 2018	New Application Form submitted on 26 March 2018
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche; PP3-Malta College of Arts Science and Technology; PP4-Università degli Studi di Catania; PP5-ECONETIQUE; PP6-Plastica Alfa Srl
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) Providing clarification about which is the PP entitled to carry out 10 PFS systems for the selected SMEs Revising the number of enterprises expected to install the new technology, considered that the ones which are part of the partnership cannot be counted (criterion 3.1.2) Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards to WP2</u> a budget reduction has to be applied amounting to € 41.773,57 in relation to staff costs of LP, PP2, PP3 and PP4 <u>Having regards to WP6</u>, the budget allocation of € 10.000 for LP and € 10.000 for PP2 under the line items "external expertise and services" and corresponding to the vouchers has to be erased <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> reductions have to be applied up to a maximum of 3% of the entire project budget <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u>, reduction of the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for PP5-ECONETIQUE and PP6-Plastica Alfa Srl due to the fact that they declared to recover VAT; Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 04/2018 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed, namely the reference to LN352 of 2016 or equivalent for Maltese beneficiaries and DLG n. 50/2016 for Italian beneficiaries It is clarified that the PFS system will be developed jointly by P2 and P6 and tested by P4. The photocatalytic filter, the heart of the PFS unit, will be manufactured by P6. The PFS unit, consisting of tanks, pump, filter and other will be designed jointly by P1, P2, P6 and P5. The 10 PFS units will then be manufactured by P5. Five of these units will be installed at Maltese enterprises by P5 and five will be installed in Sicilian enterprises by P6 The number of enterprises expected to install the new technology (output 6.1 both in Table B and WP6) was reduced from 12 to 10 thus excluding the two enterprises that make part of the project partnership Having regards project budget revision: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards to WP2</u> no budget reduction was provided. The LP refers that the whole budget as previously indicated is necessary to deliver the deliverables pertaining to WP2 considering that excluding academics and researchers is likely to render delivery very difficult, if not impossible. Moreover, the LP refers that budget allocated for PP2, PP3 and PP4 cannot be reduced considering that these partners have similar responsibilities as the LP, even if of varying levels, which is in fact reflected by the difference in budgets allocated <u>Having regards to WP6</u>, no budget reduction was provided but a transformation of such type of service from "vouchers" to "external consultant". The LP clarifies that technical advice will be given to 40 SMEs (20 in Malta and 20 in Sicily) coming from various industrial sectors. The UM and CNR shall each manage a sum of €10,000 which they will each use to engage a consultant. These consultants will provide technical advice, assisting the companies, selected via a call, to reduce water consumption through more effective use and/or reclamation methods. The two consultants shall have the responsibility to inspect the clients' premises,

			acquire the necessary information, prepare a technical report highlighting options how water can be saved, and provide an estimate of the recommended changes						
			c) <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> reductions have been applied up to a maximum of 4% of the entire project budget and not 3%. The LP refers that only the expenses related to "Steering committee meeting" and "Conferences" could be reduced without affecting the achievement of project outputs and results mainly dedicated to cross border technology transfer in a number of KETs involving a total of 60 persons. Thus a reduction of € 36.198,00 was applied by on the line item "travel and accommodation". This change led to a reduction in the staff costs of € 4.819 up to the LP and PP3 needed to maintain the latter within the specified 40% limit and related administrative cost of € 481,90						
			d) <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u> the LP refers that the figures included in AF and budget are net of VAT for PP5-ECONETIQUE and PP6-Plastica Alfa Srl						
			5. In section C.7, the Gantt chart has been revised to show the project start date as April 2018. Dates in Section C.5 have also been altered accordingly						
						</			

			PP5	ECO	-	-	-€ 5.056,00			-€ 5.056,00
			PP6	PA	-	-	-€ 2.125,00			-€ 2.125,00
			TOTALE / TOTAL		-€ 4.819,00	-€ 481,90	-€ 36.198,00			-€ 41.498,90

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
1.1	BYTHOS	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 26 th of March 2018	New Application Form submitted on 26 March 2018
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-Comune di Lipari; PP3-Distretto Pescaturismo e Cultura del Mare; PP4-University of Malta; PP5-Malta Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture; PP6-Aquabiotech
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Making coherent target value of outputs 4.3, 5.3 and 6.2 as reported in Table B and in each WPs (criterion 3.1.4) Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards to WP0</u>, reductions have to be applied up to a maximum of € 20.000 according to the provisions of the Manual <u>Having regards to WP1</u>, the 22% corresponding budget has to be reduced up to 20% <u>Having regards to WP2</u>, PP3 budgeted costs for kick off and final meetings that have to be cut for the amount of € 14.000 each, namely for a total amount of € 28.000 <u>Having regards to WP2</u>, PP3 budgeted costs for "Communications, press office, printing services, web services" hat have to be cut for the amount of € 30.000 <u>Having regards to WP2</u>, PP3 budgeted costs for "Communications responsible person and stakeholder strategist" that have to be cut for the amount of € 30.000 <u>Having regards to WP3</u>, LP budgeted costs for "travel and accommodation" for visits in relation to the Lab activities that have to be cut in relation both to the number of 10 people participating to each visit and to the related budget <u>Having regards to State aid issue</u>, a percentage of 50% of the ERDF co-financing rate has to be calculated within the AF for PP6-Aquabiotech <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u>, reduction of the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for PP6 Aquabiotech due to the fact tha they declared to recover VAT; Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 04/2018 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The values in table B have been revised to agree with the figures reported in WPs regarding outputs 4.3, 5.3. However, the incoherence regarding output 6.2 remains. In particular, Table B reports the value of 3 and WP6 reports the value of 2. Furthermore, the LP modifies the value of output 6.3, namely Table B reports the value of 2 and WP6 reports the value of 4 Definitions, including reference, to the national rules or regulations governing procurement procedures have been provided in Table C9 of the AF Having regards project budget revision: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards to WP0</u> The total amount requested has been brought in line with programme requirements and now stands at €19,000. For what above, the 'additional co-financing' from UNIPA for WP0 of €2,400 has been removed. (this modification afflicts the evaluation grid) <u>Having regards to WP1</u> costs have now been brought in line with 20% of total admissible costs and correspond to € 490.809,10, namely € 74.450,60 less than the original budget. However, in order to provide such cuts, the LP-UNIPA reduced part of its 'additional co-financing' for the amount of € 21.600, PP2-Comune di Lipari reduced part of its 'additional co-financing' for the amount of € 24.000. (this modification afflicts the evaluation grid) <u>Having regards to WP2</u>, PP3 reduced costs for kick off and final meetings of € 28.000 <u>Having regards to WP2</u>, PP3 reduced costs for "Communications, press office, printing services" of € 30.000 <u>Having regards to WP2</u>, PP3 reduced costs for "Communications responsible person and stakeholder strategist" of € 30.000 <u>Having regards to WP3</u>, LP clarifies that the number 10 does not refer to the number of people going to Lipari but the number of trips to be made by researchers within the 6-month period. These costs applied to the internal UNIPA travel costs and refer to the experts in biotechnology (professors, post docs etc.) who will necessarily visit the Lipari laboratory twice per

				<p>month in order to transfer expertise and follow the lab activities and work being carried out by the lab technician on the island. The LP reduced the costs per visit to €300 from €500. Such reduction is supported by accompanying documents which indicates the fares applied by ferries. For what above a reduction of € 12.000 was applied.</p> <p>g) <u>Having regards to State aid issue</u> the LP refers that ABT have agreed to accept a reduction in the intensity of the contribution as required by the Executive Committee comments. However, two adjustments have been made in this regard.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ABT will no longer buy the fishmeal mill (€28,000) as it does not enter into their core business activities. This will now be bought by UNIPA and housed on Lipari for the duration of the project. The fishmeal from the Lipari lab will produce flour for the fish feed development. The flour will be transported to Malta for the various steps of fish feed development - ABT will no longer manage the "contract for the Lab manager to coordinate work at the DFA lab plus support to stakeholder strategy in Malta" within WP3 as "external expertise and services". The LP refers that this is clearly a figure of crucial importance to the project's success. The contract will now be issued and managed by PP3. <u>It is highlighted that originally it was an activity scheduled within WP3 and the new version of the AF reports such activities in WP5 as "Site managager of the lab - Lipari, Siciily and local business expert" as "staff costs". It is also highlighted that the corresponding "travel and accommodation costs" were brought to PP3 too. However, the extimated costs for "travel and accommodation" were originally amounting to € 3.200 but in the new version of the AF such costs amount to € 18.000 in WP5. No details were provided within the AF (word section) regarding such type of modifications.</u> <p>h) <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u> the LP refers that in accordance with par. 9.6.1 of the manual of Interreg Italia Malta programme, all prices are recorded in the budget excel as VAT exclusive</p> <p>4. In section C.7, the Gantt chart has been revised to show the project start date as April 2018</p>
			Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF

	€ 2.619.493 = € 2.498.443 (ERDF+NC) + € 121.050 (add. co-fi)					€ 2.422.742,40 = € 2.358.992,40 (ERDF+NC) + € 63.750 (add. co-fi)				
Breakdown costs			TOTAL BUDGET	Additional co-financing	TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET			TOTAL BUDGET	Additional co-financing	TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET
	PP1	UNIPA	€ 1.096.422,00	€ 69.300,00	€ 1.027.122,00	PP1	UNIPA	1.058.772,00	36.000,00	1.022.772,00
	PP2	ComLip	€ 167.680,00	€ 36.000,00	€ 131.680,00	PP2	ComLip	142.680,00	12.000,00	130.680,00
	PP3	Dist PTCM	€ 502.200,00	€ 0,00	€ 502.200,00	PP3	Dist PTCM	498.100,00	-	498.100,00
	PP4	UoM	€ 267.141,00	€ 0,00	€ 267.141,00	PP4	UoM	264.560,40	-	264.560,40
	PP5	MSDEC - DFA	€ 219.150,00	€ 15.750,00	€ 203.400,00	PP5	MSDEC - DFA	217.830,00	15.750,00	202.080,00
	PP6	ABT	€ 366.900,00	€ 0,00	€ 366.900,00	PP6	ABT	240.800,00	-	240.800,00
	TOTAL		€ 2.619.493,00	€ 121.050,00	€ 2.498.443,00	Total		2.422.742,40	63.750,00	2.358.992,40
Reduction details (more details are reported in the annexed file)			Staff	Office	Travel	Ext	Equ	TOTAL BUDGET	Additional co-financing	TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET
	PP1	UNIPA	- 3.300,00	-	- 14.700,00	- 12.650,00	23.000,00	-7.650,00	- 33.300,00	- 4.350,00
	PP2	ComLip	- 24.000,00	-	- 1.000,00	-	-	- 25.000,00	- 24.000,00	- 1.000,00
	PP3	Dist PTCM	72.000,00	7.200,00	20.400,00	- 103.700,00	-	- 4.100,00	-	- 4.100,00
	PP4	UoM	- 2.346,00	- 234,60	-	-	-	- 2.580,60	-	- 2.580,60
	PP5	MSDEC - DFA	- 1.200,00	- 120,00	-	-	-	- 1.320,00	-	- 1.320,00
	PP6	ABT	- 31.000,00	- 3.100,00	3.200,00	- 75.200,00	- 20.000,00	- 26.100,00	-	- 126.100,00
	TOTAL		-€ 19.846,00	€ 3.745,40	€ 7.900,00	-€ 191.550,00	€ 3.000,00	-€ 196.750,60	-€ 57.300,00	-€ 139.450,60

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
1.1	NATIFLIFE	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 26 th of March 2018	New Application Form submitted on 26 March 2018
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-Comune di Catania; PP3-VITECO Vitale Tecnologie Comunicazione S.r.l.; PP4-Salupo s.a.s.; PP5-Futuro prossimo srl; PP6-University of Malta; PP7-Paragon Europe Limited
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Revising Table B "Project output indicators" of the section 2.1 which reports as measurement unit "10 enterprises <u>potentially</u> exploiting the web sites services" clearly indicating the number of enterprises that will really exploit the web sites services (criterion 3.2) Removing as output indicators n. 3 enterprises of the partnership Clarifying the specific role played within the partnership by PP2-Comune di Catania in line with its institutional mission (criterion 4.3) Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards equipment</u> described as "work station, office furniture, etc depreciation rules have to be applied <u>Having regards to State aid issue</u>, a percentage of 50% of the ERDF co-financing rate has to be calculated within the AF for PP4-SALUPO <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> reductions have to be applied up to a maximum of 3% of the entire project budget <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> outside the cross-border area, the related costs have to be delated (€ 11.600 for LP and PP6) <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> correctly indicating the localisation of the expenditures for all PPs <u>Having regards to "equipment" and "missions"</u> better defining their description <u>Having regards to "Deliverable"</u>, completing the related column of the AF (excel version) where the information is missing <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u>, reduction of the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for PP3-Viteco, PP4-Salupo s.a.s., PP5-Futuro Prossimo and PP7-Paragon due to the fact that they declared to recover VAT Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 04/2018 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The LP removes the reference to "potentially" and clearly indicates the number of enterprises The LP removes the indication of the enterprises that are included within the partnership The specific role played within the partnership by PP2-Comune di Catania was better detailed. In particular, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>In section B.1-Project partners</u>, it was clarified that MunCT is deeply involved in integrated services for elderly and people with disability to improve quality and expectancy of their life, by providing home care assistance, remote assistance and social activities. Activity performed in several projects, funded by the PO FESR 2007/20013, represents a valuable skill to tackle with the project activities <u>In WP2</u>, it was clarified that the organization of public visits to the pilot demo sites will be in charge of MunCT. The latter activity is strictly correlated to MunCT mission of improving quality and expectancy of fair people life, by promoting and encouraging social activities. MunCT will play a unique role during info days and final workshop organized in Catania in involving end-users to the events to promote social interaction and to disseminate benefits of assistive technology. An info point will be arranged and managed by MunCT, to provide end-users with an integrated service related to fruition of Pilot Demo Sites, promotion of assistive technology advantages, as well as the Network Portal developed by the project activities <u>In WP3</u>, it was clarified that end-users will be involved in Hands on Activities by MunCT, Prox and Paragon to stimulate interaction between researcher, the industrial sector and real needs. During such activities end-users will test and get in confidence with

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
			<p>traditional and innovative assistive technology to provide a unique feedback to developers and to implement strategic integration actions</p> <p>4. Definitions, including reference, to the national laws governing procurement procedures have been provided in Table C9 of the AF regarding public IT and MT partners. Having regards to the private ones, a specification is included clarifying that private entities do not need to launch a public procurement procedure, but will choose provider guaranteeing the most advantageous combination of cost, quality and sustainability to meet project requirements, among a list of minimum 3 different providers/commercial offers</p> <p>5. Having regards project budget revision:</p> <p>a) <u>For equipment</u> described as "work station, office furniture, etc. depreciation rules have been applied</p> <p>b) <u>Having regards to State aid issue</u>, a percentage of 50% of the ERDF co-financing rate has been calculated within the AF for PP4-SALUPO. However, the partner reduces its own budget. More specifically, excluding "travel and accommodation" and "equipment" whose cuts were approved by the ExC at project level, the beneficiary also reduces its line items "staff cost", "admin costs" and "external expertise" for the amount of € 22.972,08</p> <p>c) <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> reductions have been applied up to a maximum of 3% of the entire project budget</p> <p>d) <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> outside the cross-border area, the related costs of € 11.600 for LP and PP6 have been deleted</p> <p>e) <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> the localisation of the expenditures for all PPs remained unvaried</p> <p>f) <u>Having regards to "equipment" and "missions"</u> the LP better defines their description</p> <p>g) <u>Having regards to "Deliverable"</u>, the related columns of the AF (excel version) were completed</p> <p>h) <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u> the LP refers that PP3-VITECO, PP4-SALUPO, PP5-HELIOS (ex Futuro Prossimo) and PP7-PARAGONA recorded all prices related to "external expertise and services" and "equipment" as VAT exclusive</p>

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILTY CONDITIONS						FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER						
								6.In section C.7, the Gantt chart has been revised to show the project start date as April 2018 <u>It is highlighted that the LP refers that PP5-Futuro Prossimo srl isn't a project partner anymore due to the fact that it sold its business to HELIOS srl.</u> Thus, the revised AF also includes the request to replace Futuro Prossimo srl with HELIOS srl and attaches the administrative documentation proving the sale (minutes of board of director, transfer of the rental contract) and the requiring the replacement (Annex A, Annex C, balance sheets). Although the LP's cover letter refers about the Statute of HELIOS srl., such document isn't annexed.						
		Budget	Budget previous AF						Budget revised AF					
			€ 1.322.907,05						€ 1.118.889,13					
		Breakdown costs				TOTAL BUDGET						TOTAL BUDGET		
			PP1	UNICT		€ 317.178,67			PP1	UNICT		288.378,17		
			PP2	MunCT		€ 115.752,00			PP2	MunCT		95.006,20		
			PP3	VITECO		€ 126.117,33			PP3	VITECO		103.567,49		
			PP4	SALUPO		€ 166.920,00			PP4	SALUPO		110.901,64		
			PP5	PROX		€ 160.400,00			PP5	HELIOS		131.687,77		
			PP6	UoM		€ 245.420,38			PP6	UoM		225.224,58		
			PP7	PARAGON		€ 191.118,67			PP7	PARAGON		164.123,28		
TOTAL			€ 1.322.907,05			Total			1.118.889,13					
Reduction details (more details are reported in the annexed file)				Staff	Office	Travel	Ext	Equ	TOTAL BUDGET					
		PP1	UNICT	- 11.071,35	- 1.107,13	- 13.400,00	- 3.152,02	- 70,00	- 28.800,50					
		PP2	MunCT	- 7.969,05	- 796,91	- 7.100,00	- 829,84	- 4.050,00	- 20.745,80					
		PP3	VITECO	- 8.662,67	- 866,27	- 13.021,73	-	0,82	- 22.549,84					
		PP4	SALUPO	- 19.080,42	- 1.908,04	- 31.046,29	- 1.983,61	- 2.000,00	- 56.018,36					
		PP5	HELIOS	- 10.360,23	- 1.036,02	- 10.560,00	- 1.147,17	- 5.608,80	- 28.712,23					
		PP6	UoM	- 8.052,57	- 805,26	- 10.540,00	- 797,97	-	- 20.195,80					
		PP7	PARAGON	- 10.323,08	- 1.032,31	- 11.020,00	-	- 4.620,00	- 26.995,39					
		TOTAL			-€ 75.519,38	-€ 7.551,94	-€ 96.688,01	-€ 7.910,61	-€ 16.347,98	-€ 204.017,92				

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILT Y CONDITIONS			FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER		
1.1	MEDIWARN	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 26 th of March 2018			New Application Form submitted on 26 March 2018		
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version			New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version		
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner			Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2- Università di Malta, PP3-Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Policlinico Vittorio Emanuele		
		1. Confirmation to use and apply the project findings by Mater Dei Hospital has to be submitted (criteria 2.2 and 4.1) 2. An output indicator able to measure the achievement of the result indicator n. 3 “beneficio della sperimentazione sui pazienti” has to be implemented (criterion 3.1.5) 3. Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1): a) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> a better description of each items has to be provided b) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> described as "work station, office furniture, etc" depreciation rules have to be applied c) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> a reduction of € 20.000 has to be applied for all PPs 4. Making coherent the declaration provided by FORMEDICAL CO regarding the “diritto di prelazione alla commercializzazione dei <i>virtual biosensor for medical warning precursor</i> ” with the need that project outputs are delivered in open source solution, free from intellectual rights and available for all cross border stakeholders (criterion B1) 5. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 04/2018			1. The LP submits a confirmation by Mater Dei Hospital agreeing to support the MEDIWARN project with respect to having clinical trials in hospital once the ethical and data procedures are confirmed. 2. No specific output indicator has been implemented but a specification of output 5.3 “Documento di sintesi sull’efficacia del biosensore” where the description “Questo documento fornirà i dati statistici a supporto della validità oggettiva dei risultati sperimentali nelle strutture sanitarie. In esso verranno esplicitati i risultati dei questionari (D2.3.1) effettuati sui gruppi target oggetto della sperimentazione. Inoltre, i risultati verranno comparati con analoghi gruppi di pazienti che sono stati sottoposti alla metodologia tradizionale” is included. 3. Having regards project budget revision: a) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> a better description of each items has been provided b) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> described as "work station, office furniture, etc" depreciation rules have been applied c) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> a reduction of € 20.120 has been applied for all LP and PP3 4. Having regards FORMEDICAL CO, a communication from the LP to the associated partner is included. It informs that a collaboration is possible respecting the principle of open source and no “diritto di prelazione” can be applied 5. The project starting date has been settled on 30 April 2018 and WPs were modified accordingly.		
		Budget	Budget previous AF		Budget revised AF		
			€ 1.657.603,46		€ 1.622.523,46		
					TOTAL BUDGET		

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILTY CONDITIONS						FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER					
			PP1	UNICT		650.000,00			PP1	UNICT		619.920,00	
			PP2	UoM		482.436,80			PP2	UoM		482.436,80	
			PP3	AOU Pol V. Emanuele		525.166,65			PP3	AOU Pol. V. Emanuele		520.166,65	
			TOTAL			€ 1.657.603,46			Total			1.622.523,46	
		Reduction details					Staff	Office	Travel	Ext	Equ	TOTAL BUDGET	
				PP1	UNICT	- 11.600,00	- 1.160,00	-	-	- 17.320,00	- 30.080,00		
				PP2	UoM	-	-	-	-	-	-		
				PP3	AOU Pol. V. Emanuele	- 2.000,00	- 200,00	-	-	- 2.800,00	- 5.000,00		
				TOTAL		-€ 13.600,00	-€ 1.360,00	€ 0,00	€ 0,00	-€ 20.120,00	-€ 35.080,00		

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
1.1	I-ACCESS	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 26 th of March 2018	New Application Form submitted on 26 March 2018
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-Consiglio Nazionale Ricerche - Istituto di Calcolo e Reti ad Alte Prestazioni (ICAR CNR); PP3-Comune di Palermo; PP4-Dipartimento Beni culturali Soprintendenza BB.CC. E AA.; PP5-Universita' di Malta; PP6-Valletta Local Council; PP7-Innovogy Ltd
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Making coherent the output indicators inserted in section 2.1 and the ones included in section C.5 (criterion 3.2) Making coherent the reference to programme output indicator inserted in section 2.1 and the one included in section C.5 (criterion 3.2) Making coherent the number of project outputs inserted in Section 2.1-Table B with the ones included in section C.5 (criterion 3.2) Making coherent the quantification and measurement unit of project outputs with their description in section C.5 (criterion 3.2 and 7.1) Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2 and 7.3) Re-wording the communication objectives (criterion 6.1) Reporting in WP2 the communication activities wrongly described in WP6 (criterion 6.1) Revising the tactics related to the communication objective n. 2 (criterion 6.2) Making coherent in section C.5 and within the working plan the duration of activity 2.3 (criterion 7.4) Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards to equipment</u> a better description of each items has to be provided <u>Having regards to equipment</u> described as "work station, office furniture, etc" depreciation rules have to be applied <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> reductions have to be applied up to a maximum of 3% of the entire project budget <u>Having regards to "Localisation"</u> completing the related column of the AF (excel version) where the information is missing <u>Having regards to "Deliverable"</u>, completing the related column of the AF (excel version) where the information is missing <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u>, reduction of the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for PP7-Innovogy Ltd due to the fact that they 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The output indicators inserted in section 2.1 are coherent with the ones included in section C.5 of each WPs The reference to programme output indicator inserted in section 2.1 is coherent with the one included in section C.5, namely "CO04-Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support" The number of project outputs inserted in Section 2.1-Table B is coherent with the one included in section C.5 The quantification and measurement unit of project outputs is coherent with their description in section C.5 Definitions, including reference, to the national laws governing procurement procedures have been provided in Table C9 of the AF regarding IT and MT partners The communication objectives were re-worded The communication activities were correctly reported in WP2 The tactics related to the communication objective n. 2 was revised The duration of activity 2.3 is coherent in section C.5 and within the working plan Having regards project budget revision: <u>Having regards to equipment</u> a better description of each items has been provided <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards to equipment</u> described as "work station, office furniture, etc." depreciation rules have been applied <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> reductions have been applied up to a maximum of 3% of the entire project budget <u>Having regards to "Localisation"</u> the related column of the AF (excel version) was completed <u>Having regards to "Deliverable"</u>, the related column of the AF (excel version) was completed <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u>, the LP doesn't report any clarification regarding PP7-Innovogy Ltd The project starting date has been settled on April 2018, Also WPs and Gantt in Section 7 were modified accordingly It is highlighted that the reductions required by the ExC affect the line item "staff costs" for LP-UNIPA, PP2-CNR and PP7-Innovogy that now exceed the 40% rate required by the manual. In particular: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>LP-UNIPA</u> wrongly allocates budget amounting to € 16.200 for "Borse di Studio"

		declared to recover VAT 11. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 04/2018			within the line item staff costs instead of "external expertise". <ul style="list-style-type: none">PP2-CNR allocates an exceeding budget of € 9.000 within the line item "staff cost" that determines the rate of 43% for "staff costs".PP7-Innovogy allocates an exceeding budget of € 1.750 within the line item "staff cost" that determines the rate of 41% for "staff costs".					
		Budget	Budget previous AF			Budget revised AF				
€ 1.200.000,00			1.161.400,00 (to be re-calculated)							
Breakdown costs per PPs				TOTAL BUDGET				TOTAL BUDGET		
		PP1	UNIPA	€ 338.100,75	PP1	UNIPA		€ 329.300,75		
		PP2	ICAR-CNR	€ 200.000,00	PP2	ICAR-CNR		€ 186.000,00		
		PP3	CdP	€ 91.559,26	PP3	CdP		€ 86.759,26		
		PP4	DBC	€ 90.340,00	PP4	DBC		€ 81.940,00		
		PP5	UoM	€ 226.906,66	PP5	UoM		€ 226.906,66		
		PP6	VLC	€ 134.093,34	PP6	VLC		€ 134.093,34		
		PP7	Innov	€ 119.000,00	PP7	Innov		€ 116.400,00		
	TOTAL		€ 1.200.000,00	Total		1.161.400,00				
				Staff	Office	Travel	Ext	Equ	TOTAL BUDGET	
PP1	UNIPA	-	-	-6.000,00	-	-2.800,00	-8.800,00			
PP2	ICAR-CNR	-	-	-14.000,00	-	-	-14.000,00			
PP3	CdP	-	-	-4.800,00	-	-	-4.800,00			
PP4	DBC	-	-	-8.400,00	-	-	-8.400,00			
PP5	UoM	-	-	-	-	-	-			
PP6	VLC	-	-	-	-	-	-			
PP7	Innov	-	-	-2.600,00	-	-	-2.600,00			
TOTALE / TOTAL			€ 0,00	€ 0,00	-€ 35.800,00	€ 0,00	-€ 2.800,00	-€ 38.600,00		

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
1.1	I.T.A.M.A.	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 26 th of March 2018	New Application Form submitted on 26 March 2018
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-Università di Messina - Dip. di Patologia umana dell'adulto e dell'età evolutiva "Gaetano Barresi"; PP3-Ministry of Health; PP4-AcrossLimits Ltd
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Revising the target values indicated in WP5 as well as in Table B having particular regard to the action of "knowledge transfer" (criterion 3.2) Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criteria 5.2 and 7.3) Providing stronger communication objectives in order to reach the project target groups and promote the knowledge transfer and the dissemination of project results (criterion 6.1) Providing a stronger communication approach in relation to the revised communication objectives (criterion 6.2) Revising the target value related to the target group (criterion 6.3) Providing clarification regarding the different number of sample of children between Sicily and Malta involved in the screening activities (criterion 7.1) Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards to WP1</u>, for the LP the 33% corresponding budget has to be reduced up to 20% <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> reductions have to be applied up to a maximum of 3% of the entire project budget <u>Having regards to equipment</u> of the LP described as "Smart UPS 10000 VA (Gruppo di continuità), Postazione PC (Personal computer desktop completi ad uso del personale che collabora al progetto), Printer multifunzione colore (Unità a stampa per la creazione del materiale cartaceo), Sistema video conferenza per meeting (Postazione audio-video per sistema di videoconferenza)" depreciation rules have to be applied <u>Having regards to equipment</u> of the PP2 described as "Postazione PC (Personal computer desktop completi ad uso del personale che collabora al progetto)" depreciation rules have to be applied <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> outside the cross-border area, the related costs have to be deleted (€ 15.000 for LP and PP2) <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u>, reduction of the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for PP4-AcrossLimits Ltd due to the fact that it declared to recover VAT A confirmation in the new AF is required that project findings will be delivered by the partnership in open sources modality in order to involve not only the associated partners but 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The target value indicated in WP5 as well as in Table B has been revised with particular regards to output 5.1. The previous target value of 2 enterprises has been increased to 5. Definitions, including reference, to the national laws governing procurement procedures have been provided in Table C9 of the AF regarding IT and MT partners Stronger communication objectives in order to reach the project target groups and promote the knowledge transfer and the dissemination of project results have been provided A stronger communication approach in relation to the revised communication objectives has been provided In section C.2.2. the target value related to the target group has been revised A clarification regarding the different number of sample of children between Sicily and Malta involved in the screening activities has been provided. It is mainly referred to the diagnostic gap between the two islands considering that in Sicily a diagnostic screening was already performed in the past. Having regards project budget revision <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Having regards to WP1</u>, the LP reduced its own budget to 20% for the amount of € 132.573,38 <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> reductions have been applied up to a maximum of 3% of the entire project budget <u>Having regards to equipment</u> of the LP, it is referred that the costs were budgeted taking into account the depreciation rules <u>Having regards to equipment</u> of the PP2, it is referred that the costs were budgeted taking into account the depreciation rules <u>Having regards to "travel and accommodation"</u> outside the cross-border area, the related costs of € 15.000 for LP and PP2 have been deleted <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u>, the LP refers that PP4-AcrossLimits Ltd had already taken into consideration to recover VAT

		also those entities (research centres, hospitals etc.) which could be interested in (criterion B2)						8. In section C.2.3 of the AF, the LP refers that “ <i>Le informazioni raccolte dal progetto saranno restituite in formato open data. In particolare saranno in open source tutti gli output della ricerca, a disposizione della Comunità Scientifica, i database a disposizione di strutture sanitarie e aziende che ne faranno richiesta; mentre resteranno sotto IP i prodotti sviluppati da aziende private e protetti da copyright o brevetto</i> ”						
		9. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 04/2018						9. The project starting date has been settled on 30 April 2018 and WPs were modified accordingly. The LP refers to have shifted the previous costs related to “Borse di studio” from “staff costs” to “external expertise” in line with the legal framework. Such modification affects the budget line items of LP and PP2. In particular, for the LP the amount of € 48.200 was shifted from “staff cost” to External expertise”, although the previous budget allocated for “Borse di studio” was amounting to € 39.000; for PP2 the amount of € 106.400 was shifted from “staff cost” to External expertise”.						
		Budget	Budget previous AF						Budget revised AF					
			€ 2.226.000,00						€ 2.081.623,46					
	Breakdown costs per			TOTAL BUDGET					TOTAL BUDGET					
		PP1	UNIPA	906.000,00			PP1	UNIPA	838.053,35					
		PP2	UNIME	570.000,00			PP2	UNIME	534.160,00					
		PP3	MINMT	570.000,00			PP3	MINMT	545.822,00					
		PP4	AL	180.000,00			PP4	AL	163.588,12					
		TOTAL		€ 2.226.000,00			Total		2.081.623,46					
	Reductions details			Staff	Office	Travel	Ext	Equ	TOTAL BUDGET					
		PP1	UNIPA	- 20.496,96	- 2.049,70	- 21.400,00	- 24.000,00	-	-	- 67.946,66				
		PP2	UNIME	- 106.400,00	- 10.640,00	- 25.200,00	106.400,00	-	-	- 35.840,00				
		PP3	MINMT	-	-	- 24.178,00	-	-	-	- 24.178,00				
		PP4	AL	- 4.810,80	- 481,08	- 11.120,00	-	-	-	- 16.411,88				
		TOTAL		-€ 131.707,76	-€ 13.170,78	-€ 81.898,00	€ 82.400,00	€ 0,00	-€ 144.376,54					

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS			FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER		
2.2	JOB MATCH 2020	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 26 th of March 2018			New Application Form submitted on 25 March 2018		
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version			New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version		
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner			Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-Arancia ICT srl; PP3-Malta Vocational Centre Ltd; PP4-Camera di Commercio Italo Maltese		
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A new indicator has to be chosen in order to properly measure the n. of services delivered by the web site of the project (criterion 3.2) 2. Defining communication activities able to better involve institutional partners for the full achievement of project results (criterion 6.3) 3. A confirmation of the premises where the Job Match Centre will be located is required (criterion 7.1) 4. Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Having regards to WP1</u>, the 23% corresponding budget has to be reduced up to 20%, mainly for the LP b) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> amounting to € 30.200 depreciation rules have to be applied c) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> the coherence of purchasing smartphone and table for the project purpose has to be clarified d) Allocating the budget related to "work experience" within the line item "external expertise and services" instead of "travel and accommodation" e) <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u>, reduction of the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for PP2-ARANCIA srl PP3-Malta Vocational Center due to the fact that they declared to recover VAT 5. Including within the AF the establishment of an operative network with other projects selected under the programme Axis I and III in order to assure for those beneficiaries a fast track within the services provided by Job match portal (criterion B1) 6. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 04/2018 			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the section 2.1, in relation to the output indicator "<i>Portale dei servizi JobMatch 2020 per l'erogazione di servizi di Counselling, tutoring, recruiting, human resources management per i due paesi</i>" the LP inserted two new measurement units: 1) <i>Candidature inserite nel portale</i>; 2) <i>Query delle imprese sul portale</i>. However, Section C.5 wasn't accordingly updated 2. The communication activities able to involve institutional partners were better defined 3. The LP clarified that the premises of the Job Match Centre will be located in Palermo, at the LP facility 4. Having regards project budget revision: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Having regards to WP1</u>, the budget was accordingly reduced for the amount of € 59.497,50 b) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> the depreciation rules were applied and the budget was reduced c) <u>Having regards to equipment</u> the coherence of purchasing smartphone and table for the project purpose was clarified in the document "<i>ALLEGATO A - Nota aggiuntiva finalizzata a riscontrare i punti sollevati nella nota n. 4373 del 14/03/2018 e relativo allegato</i>" d) <u>Having regards to the budget related to "work experience"</u>, it was allocated within "external expertise and services" instead of "travel and accommodation" e) <u>Having regards to VAT issue</u> the LP refers that PP2-ARANCIA srl and PP3-Malta Vocational Center recorded all prices related to "external expertise and services" and "equipment" as VAT exclusive 5. The LP clarified that the project will contribute to the Axis I and Axis 3 of the Programme and in particular to sectors such as the quality of life and health of citizens and environmental protection 6. The project starting date has been settled on April 2018. Also WPs and Gantt in Section 7 were modified accordingly 		
		Budget	Budget previous AF			Budget revised AF	
			€ 668.039,00			€ 661.369	
		Breakdown costs per PPs			TOTAL BUDGET		TOTAL BUDGET
			PP1	ARCES	255.203,00	PP1	ARCES
			PP2	ARANCIA ICT	207.890,00	PP2	ARANCIA ICT

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS				FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER					
			PP3	MVC	146.350,00		PP3	MVC	144.805,00		
			PP4	MICC	58.596,00		PP4	MICC	57.671,00		
			TOTAL		668.039,00		Total		661.369,00		
					Staff	Office	Travel	Ext	Equ	TOTAL BUDGET	
			PP1	ARCES	552,00	55,20	- 41.250,00	44.442,80	- 3.800,00	-	
			PP2	ARANCIA ICT	-	-	-	-	- 4.200,00	- 4.200,00	
			PP3	MVC	-	-	-	-	- 1.545,00	- 1.545,00	
			PP4	MICC	-	-	-	-	- 925,00	- 925,00	
			TOTAL		€ 552,00	€ 55,20	-€ 41.250,00	€ 44.442,80	-€ 10.470,00	-€ 6.670,00	

A seguito della disamina degli AF revisionati, il Comitato Direttivo chiarisce che con riferimento alle spese di viaggio e soggiorno, a fronte di una carenza descrittiva delle attività e/o di quantificazione delle risorse umane dedicate e delle spese correlate, fino ad un massimo del 3% del budget di progetto è stato ritenuto congruo per coprire i costi correlati alle spese di funzionamento del progetto (missioni per la partecipazione alle riunioni tecniche, di coordinamento, del comitato di pilotaggio, ecc.) . Per quanto sopra le eventuali integrazioni descrittive fornite dal capofila nella fase di presentazione dell'AF rimodulato in cui si chiarisce che le spese di viaggio e soggiorno sono riferite allo svolgimento di attività *core* del progetto sono da ritenersi accettabili.

Inoltre il Comitato Direttivo specifica che a fronte di una incoerenza tra gli indicatori riportati nella Tabella B e quelli riportati nella sezione C5 di ciascun WP, si prenderà in considerazione il valore più alto e vantaggioso per le finalità del programma.

Per ciascun progetto, il Comitato Direttivo decide quanto di seguito riportato.

MICRO WATTS

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il capofila ha inviato l'Application Form nei tempi previsti e secondo le modalità richieste benché la relativa e-mail fosse stata archiviata come "posta indesiderata" a causa di un problema nel server della Regione Siciliana. Il Comitato Direttivo prende altresì atto della trasmissione degli Annex 1 debitamente firmati, datati e scansati da ciascun partner.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che le condizioni di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 1, 2, 3, 4d) e 5 della scheda sopra riportata sono state rispettate.

Con riferimento alle condizioni di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 4a) e 4b) il Comitato Direttivo prende atto e accetta le motivazioni addotte dal capofila.

Con riferimento alla condizione di ammissibilità di cui al punto 4c) il Comitato Direttivo prende atto della riduzione del budget operata dal capofila a valere su attività di funzionamento del progetto e accetta le motivazioni addotte circa l'impossibilità di ridurre ulteriormente il budget relativo a "travel e accommodation" necessario allo svolgimento di attività *core* del progetto.

Il Comitato Direttivo approva l'Application Form del progetto MICRO WATTS.

BYTHOS

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il capofila ha inviato l'Application Form nei tempi previsti e secondo le modalità richieste, trasmettendo altresì gli Annex 1 debitamente firmati, datati e scansati da ciascun partner.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che le condizioni di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 2, 3c), 3d), 3e), 3h) e 4 della scheda sopra riportata sono state rispettate.

Con riferimento alla condizione di ammissibilità di cui al punto 1 il Comitato Direttivo prende atto del permanere dell'incoerenza per ciò che attiene gli indicatori 6.2 e 6.3 riportati nella Tabella B e quelli riportati nella sezione C5 di ciascun WP. Cionondimeno, si prenderà in considerazione il valore più alto e vantaggioso per le finalità del programma.

Con riferimento alla condizione di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 3a) e 3b) il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che le condizioni di ammissibilità non sono state rispettate e che le modifiche apportate dal capofila nell'application form revisionato inficiano la griglia di valutazione con particolare riferimento al criterio 8.3.

Con riferimento alla condizione di ammissibilità di cui al punto 3f) il Comitato Direttivo prende atto della riduzione del budget operata dal capofila e accetta le motivazioni addotte relative al numero di visite necessarie all'espletamento di attività *core* del progetto.

Con riferimento alla condizione di ammissibilità di cui al punto 3g) il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il PP6-Aquabiotec ha modificato l'intensità del contributo dall'85% al 50%. Pur tuttavia la condizione di ammissibilità non è stata rispettata nella considerazione che il nuovo AF contiene variazioni di budget tra i beneficiari della proposta progettuale.

Il Comitato Direttivo non approva l'Application Form del progetto BYTHOS.

NATIFLIFE

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il capofila ha inviato l'Application Form nei tempi previsti e secondo le modalità richieste, trasmettendo altresì gli Annex 1 debitamente firmati, datati e scansati da ciascun partner.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che le condizioni di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 1, 2, 3, 4), 5a), 5b), 5c), 5d), 5e), 5f), 5g), 5h) e 6 della scheda sopra riportata sono state rispettate.

Con riferimento all'avvicendamento del partner HELIOS srl al posto di Futuro Prossimo il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che trattasi di una successione di partner per intervenuta cessione del ramo d'azienda da Futuro Prossimo a HELIOS srl, come documentato dal capofila in fase di presentazione dell'application form revisionato.

Il Comitato Direttivo approva l'Application Form del progetto NATIFLIFE.

MEDIWARN

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il capofila ha inviato l'Application Form nei tempi previsti e secondo le modalità richieste, trasmettendo altresì gli Annex 1 debitamente firmati, datati e scansati da ciascun partner.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che le condizioni di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 1, 2, 3a), 3b), 3c), 4 e 5 della scheda sopra riportata sono state rispettate

Il Comitato Direttivo approva l'Application Form del progetto MEDIWARN.

I-ACCESS

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il capofila ha inviato l'Application Form nei tempi previsti e secondo le modalità richieste, trasmettendo altresì gli Annex 1 debitamente firmati, datati e scansati da ciascun partner.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che le condizioni di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10a), 10b), 10c), 10d), 10e) e 11 della scheda sopra riportata sono state rispettate. Con riferimento alla condizione di ammissibilità 10f) il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il capofila chiarisce con comunicazione successiva che il PP7-Innovogy Ltd aveva già ottemperato in fase di presentazione del progetto (Novembre 2016) ad indicare i costi IVA esclusa, in linea con le indicazioni del manuale.

Con riferimento allo sfioramento della percentuale del 40% di "staff costs" da parte di LP-UNIPA, PP2-CNR e PP7-Innovogy per effetto della revisione dell'AF, il Comitato Direttivo ribadisce che trattasi di una prescrizione del manuale di attuazione del programma, allegato all'avviso 01/2016, e che pertanto il partnerariato avrebbe dovuto modificare di conseguenza la voce di spesa "staff cost" del LP, PP2 e PP7.

Il Comitato Direttivo non approva l'Application Form del progetto I-ACCESS.

I.T.A.M.A.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il capofila ha inviato l'Application Form nei tempi previsti e secondo le modalità richieste, trasmettendo altresì gli Annex 1 debitamente firmati, datati e scansati da ciascun partner.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che le condizioni di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7a), 7b), 7c), 7d), 7e), 7f), 8 e 9 della scheda sopra riportata sono state rispettate.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende altresì atto delle motivazioni addotte dal capofila riferite alla voce riguardante "borse di studio" e delle relative modifiche apportate all'application form per effetto del mutato quadro normativo.

Il Comitato Direttivo approva l'Application Form del progetto I.T.A.M.A.

JOB MATCH 2020

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che il capofila ha inviato l'Application Form nei tempi previsti e secondo le modalità richieste, trasmettendo altresì gli Annex 1 debitamente firmati, datati e scansati da ciascun partner.

Il Comitato Direttivo prende atto che le condizioni di ammissibilità di cui ai punti 1, 2, 3, 4a), 4b), 4c), 4d), 4e), 5 e 6 della scheda sopra riportata sono state rispettate.

Il Comitato Direttivo approva l'Application Form del progetto JOB MATCH 2020.

Per tutto quanto sopra riportato, il Comitato Direttivo ha verificato che i progetti di cui all'O.S. 1.1 MICROWATTS, NATIFLIFE, MEDIWARN e I.T.A.M.A. e il progetto di cui all'O.S. 2.2 JOB MATCH 2020 hanno ottemperato a tutte le condizioni stabilite nelle schede di verifica della qualità approvate dal CD e pertanto gli AF vengono approvati.

Con riferimento ai progetti ricadenti nell'O.S. 1.1 BYTHOS e I-ACCESS gli stessi non hanno ottemperato a tutte le condizioni stabilite nelle schede di verifica della qualità approvate dal CD e pertanto gli AF non vengono approvati.

Si passa alla trattazione del **punto 3 - Esame e approvazione delle risposte alle controdeduzioni trasmesse da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con il seguente status: "Progetto rigettato" e "Progetto ammissibile e non finanziabile" a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 1.1 e 2.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016"**.

A seguito della disamina delle controdeduzioni trasmesse all'AdG - nei tempi e nelle modalità previste dall'Avviso 01/2016 - da parte di un potenziale capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con lo status di "progetto rigettato", il Comitato Direttivo approva lo schema di risposta alle controdeduzioni del progetto BIOREP che costituisce parte integrante del presente verbale. Il Comitato Direttivo dà mandato all'AdG di notificare la stessa al capofila.

Si procede alla trattazione del **punto 4 - Approvazione delle graduatorie definitive per la successiva trasmissione al Comitato di Sorveglianza relativamente alle proposte progettuali a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 1.1 e 2.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016.**

A seguito delle determinazioni di cui al precedente punto 2, il Comitato Direttivo approva la graduatoria per la successiva trasmissione al Comitato di Sorveglianza relativamente alle proposte progettuali a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 1.1 e 2.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016 di seguito riportate.

Elenco 1 – Graduatoria Obiettivo Specifico 1.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGIBILITÀ A ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
70	MICRO WATTS	University of Malta	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche	Malta College of Arts Science and Technology	Università degli Studi di Catania	ECONETIQUE	Plastica Alfa Srl			2.415.048	2.415.048	0	489	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.984.012
9	BYTHOS	Università degli Studi di Palermo	Comune di Lipari	Distretto Pescaturismo e Cultura del Mare	University of Malta	Malta Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture	Aquabiotech			2.514.393	2.393.343	121.050	446	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento sotto condizione - project eligible for funding under pending conditions	1.905.927
90	NATIFLife	Università degli Studi di Catania	Comune di Catania	VITECO srl	Salupo s.a.s.	HELIOS srl	University of Malta	Paragon Europe Limited		1.118.889	1.118.889	0	437	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	951.056

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGIBILITÀ A ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
13	MEDIWARN	Università degli Studi di Catania	University of Malta	Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Policlinico Vittorio Emanuele						1.622.523	1.622.523	0	428	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.379.145
41	I-ACCESS	Università degli Studi di Palermo	Consiglio Nazionale Ricerche - Istituto di Calcolo e Reti ad Alte Prestazioni	Comune di Palermo	Dipartimento Beni culturali Soprintendenza BB.CC. E AA	University of Malta	Valletta Local Council	Innovogy Ltd		1.147.955	1.147.955	0	419	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento sotto condizione - project eligible for funding under pending conditions	975.762
18	I.T.A.M.A.	Università di Palermo- Dip. di Fisica e Chimica	Università di Messina - Dip.di Patologia umana dell'adulto e dell'età evolutiva "Gaetano Barresi"	Ministry of Health	AcrossLimits Ltd					2.081.623	2.081.623		416	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento sotto condizione - project eligible for funding under pending conditions	1.769.380

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGIBILITÀ A ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
32	3CNet	UNIMALTA	6PM	UNIPA	Euro-Mediterranean Institute of Science and Technology					2.245.510	2.245.510	-	381	progetto ammissibile ma non finanziabile/ project is eligible but cannot be financed	
58	IMOTRAD	UNICT	Ministry for Sustainable Development, the Environment and Climate Change	UNIMALTA	Municipality of Randazzo	Municipality of Castel Umberto				2.285.344	2.285.344	-	371	progetto ammissibile ma non finanziabile/ project is eligible but cannot be financed	
91	City+	Valletta Local Council	UNIMALTA	Icon	Comune di Palermo	CNR	InformAmuse S.r.l.			1.431.025	1.431.025	-	367	progetto ammissibile ma non finanziabile/ project is eligible but cannot be financed	

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGIBILITÀ A ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
43	Proteus	CNR - IAMC	Regione Siciliana - Dipartimento BBCCI	ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE	Euro-Mediterranean Institute of Science and Technology	Etna Hitech	UNIMALTA	STARGATE STUDIOS MALTA LIMITED		2.441.988	2.398.988	43.000	354	progetto ammissibile ma non finanziabile/ project is eligible but cannot be financed	
64	OLIMEDforHEALTH	Istituto regionale del Vino e dell'Olio	UNIPA - Dipartimento Scienze Agrarie e Forestali	UNIMALTA Institute of Earth Systems	Imġarr Local Council					1.212.245	1.212.245	-	349	progetto ammissibile ma non finanziabile/ project is eligible but cannot be financed	
55	TRACER	CNR - Istituto per i Beni Archeologici e Monumentali	MCAS	National Archives of Malta	Etna Hitech	Capitale Cultura SAS	Acrosslimits Limited	The Hub Sicilia Società Cooperativa	Notarial Archives	1.983.952	1.983.952	-	348	progetto ammissibile ma non finanziabile/ project is eligible but cannot be financed	
38	MuSe	UNICT	UNIMALTA	PROGEN SRL	Regione Siciliana - Dipartimento BBCCI					985.044	944.844	40.200	341	progetto ammissibile ma non finanziabile/ project is eligible but cannot be financed	

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGIBILITÀ A ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
28	CUBIC-H	UNIPA DISTEM	UNIMALTA							1.171.345	1.171.345	-	316	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
66	SPELAION	UNICT	Heritage Malta	INGV	ECOSTAT	GBT LTD				2.157.610	2.157.610	-	310	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
19	ITINERA	Etna Hitech	Digitarca S.A.S.	Datanet	Teseo s.r.l.	Mad About video	Heritage Malta	Parco Archeologico Agrigento		2.327.224	2.327.224	-	308	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
30	BIOREP	UNIPA	UNIMALTA	Silvercraft Products Ltd	K-Composites SRL					1.411.722	1.290.246	121.476	291	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
51	VRAP	UNIPA	UNIMALTA-Department of History of Art	Soprintendenza BB.CC.AA di Palermo	Accademia di Belle Arti di Palermo					1.296.950	1.296.950	-	267	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
102	ASPICI	James Caterers Ltd	Maltese Italian Chamber of Commerce	Comune di Favara	ISORS					957.071	957.071	-	261	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGIBILITÀ A ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
47	OPER@	Distretto Tecnologico Sicilia Micro e Nano Sistemi S.c.a.r.l	UNICT - BRIT	UNIMALTA	Maltese-Italian Chamber of Commerce					1.256.894	1.256.894	-	252	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
3	SEMPort	NAVTEC s.c.a.r.l.	UNIME	UNIMALTA	Autorità Portuale di Messina					1.246.591	1.246.591	-	251	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
75	SMArtBread	UNIMALTA	UNICT	Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria						1.924.066	1.924.066	-	247	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
85	Better Cities	UNIPA	UNIMALTA	Valletta Local Council	Comune di Favara	ETT SpA				1.920.456	1.789.208	131.248	247	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
52	GrHUB	UNIPA - CIRCES	UNIMALTA	Modica municipality	Ghajnsielem Local council					2.494.639	2.494.639	-	242	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
74	FRONTIERE 3.0	Comune di Pachino	Città di BIRGU	Scuola Superiore di Catania	Fondazione Zammit					1.354.907	1.354.907	-	242	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGIBILITÀ A ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
63	VISITACI	UNICT	UNIMALTA	CNR IBAM	SOPRINTENDENZA BB.CC.AA. SIRACUSA	COMUNE DI PALAZZOLO ACREIDE	CGC SRL			1.410.990	1.410.990	-	224	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
42	SUTONET	Consorzio Centro per lo Sviluppo del Turismo Culturale per la Sicilia	Comune di Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto	Local Council of Birkirkara	Equinox Advisory Ltd					838.600	838.600	-	193	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
27	MEDNETS	Ass.For.SEO Srl	Comune di Lampedusa e Linosa	Sicilia Film Commission	Charichelon Company Limited	Università Telematica San Raffaele Roma	Malta Tourism Authority			2.350.000	2.350.000	-	190	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	
20	KELIM	Comune di Rosolini	Comune di Noto	UNICT	Softeco Sismat s.r.l.	Valletta Local Council	Innovogy LTD			2.300.000	2.300.000	-	157	Progetto rigettato/rejected project	

Con specifico riferimento ai "**progetti ammissibili al finanziamento sotto condizione**" (BYTHOS, I-ACCESS e I.T.A.M.A.) il Comitato Direttivo propone al Comitato di Sorveglianza la ratifica dell'ammissione a finanziamento degli stessi, ferme restando le condizioni di seguito riportate.

BYTHOS

Con riferimento al cofinanziamento aggiuntivo, l'importo di € 121.050 dovrà essere ripristinato nell'Application Form di progetto.

Con riferimento al budget per partner, ferme restando le modifiche già approvate con il presente verbale, i beneficiari dovranno ripristinare il rispettivo budget, senza alcuna variazione tra i beneficiari.

I-ACCESS

Con riferimento alla voce di spesa "staff cost" si propone la modifica della voce di spesa dei partner LP, PP2 e PP7 tale da assicurare il rispetto della percentuale del 40%. In particolare:

- LP-UNIPA: spostamento dell'importo di € 16.200 relativo a "borse di studio" dalla voce "staff costs" alla voce "external expertise and services". Tale spostamento determina il corrispondente taglio di € 1.620 a valere sulla voce di spesa "Office and administrative expenditure"
- PP2-CNR: taglio di € 9.000 dalla voce "staff costs" che determina altresì il corrispondente taglio di € 900 a valere sulla voce di spesa "Office and administrative expenditure"
- PP7-INNOVOGY: taglio di € 1.750 dalla voce "staff costs" che determina altresì il corrispondente taglio di € 175 a valere sulla voce di spesa "Office and administrative expenditure"

Inoltre, come già approvato nella seduta del Comitato Direttivo del 05-07 Marzo 2018, visto il nuovo quadro economico dei progetti revisionati, il Comitato Direttivo propone al Comitato di Sorveglianza di attingere alle risorse finanziarie ancora disponibili sull'Asse I del programma in linea con quanto previsto dall'Art. 6 dell'Avviso pubblico 01/2016 per un importo solo FESR pari a **€ 357.085** per il finanziamento del progetto I-ACCESS.

I.T.A.M.A.

Come già approvato nella seduta del Comitato Direttivo del 05-07 Marzo 2018, visto il nuovo quadro economico dei progetti revisionati, il Comitato Direttivo propone al Comitato di Sorveglianza di attingere alle risorse finanziarie ancora disponibili sull'Asse I del programma in linea con quanto previsto dall'Art. 6 dell'Avviso pubblico 01/2016 per un importo solo FESR pari a **€ 1.769.380** per il finanziamento del progetto I.T.A.M.A.

Elenco 2 – Graduatoria Obiettivo Specifico 2.2

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIAB ILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
10	JOB MATCH 2020	Colleggio Universitario ARCES	ARANCIA srl	Malta Vocational Center	Maltese Italian Chambre of Commerce					661.369	661.369		431	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	562.164

Il Comitato Direttivo decide infine che in caso il CdS dovesse autorizzare in linea con l'Art. 6 dell'Avviso pubblico 01/2016 l'ulteriore finanziamento di proposte progettuali presenti in graduatoria con la condizione di "*ammissibile al finanziamento ma non finanziabile*" sarà necessario dare mandato al SC di procedere alla puntuale individuazione di eventuali condizioni di ammissibilità al finanziamento.

Alle ore 18.00 si concludono i lavori del Comitato Direttivo.