



Fondo Europeo di Sviluppo Regionale
European Regional Development Fund

Verbale/Minutes

5[^] Riunione - Comitato Direttivo

5th Executive Committee meeting

04-05 December 2017 – Palermo

Approved on 21/12/2017

I giorni 04-05 Dicembre 2017 si è svolta la quinta riunione del Comitato Direttivo del Programma INTERREG V-A, presso il Dipartimento della Programmazione della Regione Siciliana e sono presenti i componenti di cui al foglio di presenza (Allegato 1). Partecipano al Comitato di Direttivo i componenti del Segretariato Congiunto.

Gianpaolo Simone, nella qualità di Presidente delegato, apre i lavori del 5° Comitato Direttivo del Programma INTERREG V-A Italia Malta, saluta i partecipanti e dà lettura dell'ordine del giorno.

1. Approvazione dell'ordine del giorno;
2. Esame e approvazione degli AF revisionati trasmessi da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con il seguente status: "progetto ammissibile al finanziamento sotto condizione";
3. Esame e approvazione delle risposte alle controdeduzioni trasmesse da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con il seguente status: "Progetto rigettato";
4. Approvazione delle graduatorie definitive per la successiva trasmissione al Comitato di Sorveglianza relativamente alle proposte progettuali a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 2.1, 3.1 e 3.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016.
5. Esame e approvazione della griglia di valutazione dell'ammissibilità per la proposta progettuale a valere dell'OS 2.2;
6. Esame e approvazione delle prime griglie di valutazione dei progetti presentati a valere dell'Avviso pubblico 01/2016 a valere dell'obiettivo specifico 1.1;
7. Varie ed eventuali.

Gianpaolo Simone acquisisce dal Sig. Raphael Scerri apposita delega da parte della Sig.ra Maria Catania e pertanto, verificato il numero legale e il quorum necessario dei partecipanti, la riunione viene dichiarata valida e si approva l'ordine del giorno modificato con l'eliminazione della trattazione del punto 6. Ciò nella considerazione che il CD ritiene che le griglie di valutazione delle proposte progettuali debbano essere trattate complessivamente.

Si passa alla trattazione del **punto 2 - Esame e approvazione degli AF revisionati trasmessi da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con il seguente status: "progetto ammissibile al finanziamento sotto condizione".**

A seguito della disamina degli application form revisionati dei progetti SIMASEED, NEWS, HARMONY, CALYPSO SOUTH, ENISIE, SIMIT THARSY, I KNOW e BESS, trasmessi da parte dei potenziali capofila e istruiti da parte del SC, il Comitato Direttivo ha verificato che gli stessi hanno dato seguito ai contenuti delle schede di verifica della qualità approvate dal CD per come riportato nel prospetto seguente e pertanto gli stessi vengono approvati.

Valutazione degli Application Form rimodulati

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
3.1	SiMaSeed	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UoM, PP3-Dip. Sviluppo Rurale e Territoriale, PP4-Ministry for Gozo
		1. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) 2. Aligning the data reported in the section C5 "work plan per work packages" (activities n. 2.3 and 3.5) with the data of the section C.7 "Working plan" 3. Drafting and scheduling one or more additional activities dealing with a feasibility and management plan among PPs in order to assure the maintenance of the project findings and in particular of the Seed Banks. More specifically, it is compulsory that the plan will include: 1) the Human resources and means dedicated to the Seed Banks; 2) the management costs (5 years at least); 3) PPs involved in supporting these costs; 4) Finally, the management plan has to be approved among the partnership and also in relation to the administrative rules of the two MS' (criterion A1)	1. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed 2. Activities n. 2.3 and 3.5 included within section C5 "workplan per work packages" were duly implemented within section C.7 "Working plan" 3. Additional activity and related deliverable named "Activity 3.6" and "Deliverable 3.6.1" were included in WP3 of the Application Form. In particular, <u>Activity 3.6</u> reports " <i>At the end of the project, Partners will adopt a Feasibility and Management Plan in order to ensure that the project outcomes continue to operate after the end of the project (minimum 5 years) and also on how Partners will continue to collaborate to capitalize the project objectives. The Management Plan will include, human resources and means dedicated to the Seed Banks, the management costs (5 years at least), role and responsibility of the PPs hosting Seed Banks (within public and solid institutions) and their involvement in supporting costs and/or resources</i> ". On the other side the related <u>deliverable 3.6.1</u> reports " <i>n. 1 Feasibility and Management Plan agreed by the partners (also in relation to the administrative rules of the two MS)</i> "
		Budget	Budget previous AF
			€ 1.884.981,20
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.
			€ 1.884.981,20
			n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 17 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UNICT, PP3-UoM, PP4-Libero Consorzio di Ragusa
3.2	NEWS	<p>1. Revising the whole WP2 in relation to objectives, tactics/approach, activities and corresponding costs (criterion 6.1). Defining the activities related to the resilience campaigns within WP2 (criterion B4)</p> <p>2. Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Having regards to WP 1, the LP , PP2 and PP3 budgeted costs falling within travel and accommodation respectively in Enna, Catania and Malta territories that have to be cut for a total amount of 10.000 - Having regards to WP2, LP and PP3 have to better detail and justify the costs and the activities related to the campaigns, the seminars and the website. Finally, adequate details have to be provided in relation to the costs of the APP device. <p>3. Providing a clear evidence and eventual additional documentation about the technical and the administrative modality on how the data provided by the HF SeaSonde radar stations from Calypso project are processed and used (criterion B1)</p> <p>4. Providing a clearer reference to which indicator could contribute to risk reduction (criterion B2)</p> <p>5. Involving the civil protection of the two MS' in terms of availability of such authorities and eventual additional documentation to share and implement in their internal procedure the tools developed by the project (criterion B3)</p> <p>6. Defining the activities related to the resilience campaigns within WP2 (criterion B4)</p>	<p>1. The WP2 is slightly revised and partially addresses the requirements related to objectives, tactics/approach, activities. In particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The wording used to describe the "communication objectives" as reported in WP2 isn't correct. - Tactics/approach are better defined with a clearer definition of how the project plans to reach the target group - Activities remain unchanged. A few modifications were applied to deliverables. - The unique deliverable amendment able to address the comment to criterion B4, refers that during the resilience campaigns a simulation of the risks scenarios as well as an application of the best practices will be experienced by the volunteers and a final report of the results will be provided (2.2.1). Furthermore, the deliverable 2.3.1 reports the involvement of Civil Protection as well as the Capitaneria di Porto during the dissemination events <p>2. The project budget was revised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Having regards to WP 1, budgeted costs falling within travel and accommodation were reduced for the amount corresponding to € 10.000. In particular, LP reduced its budget of € 2.000, PP2 reduced its budget of € 2.000 and PP3 reduced its budget of € 6.000 - Having regards to WP2, the activities and costs are detailed as follows: <p><u>WEB SITE</u> – n. 1 web site up to LP amounting to € 6.000;</p> <p><u>SEMINARS</u> - n. 4 seminars of which n. 2 up to the LP amounting to € 2.000 each; n. 1 seminar up to PP2 amounting to € 2.000; n. 1 seminar up to PP3 amounting to € 4.000</p> <p><u>APP</u> – the LP refers that it deals with the "componente di broadcasting" up to the LP amounting to € 7.000</p> <p><u>CAMPAIGNS</u> – n. 1 campaign up to PP3 amounting to € 28.000 is budgeted. n. 1 campaign up to LP amounting to € 30.000 is budgeted</p>

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
			<p>3. WP4 of the Application Form reports "L'Università di Malta è proprietaria dei dati ed ha progettato e realizzato il sistema Calypso. Il Calypso LP è parte integrante del presente progetto e garantirà anche attraverso consulenze esterne, l'integrazione tecnica dei dati collaborando alla realizzazione delle necessarie infrastrutture e piattaforme informatiche necessarie al processamento e utilizzo dei dati perfezionando altresì l'integrazione dei dati HF con i dati che saranno collezionati dagli altri sistemi di monitoraggio implementati nel presente progetto"</p> <p>4. The AF reports a modification of project results reporting: 1) "La rete di monitoraggio proposta costituirà uno strumento unico per la riduzione dei rischi naturali connessi all'azione del moto ondoso. In particolare essa consentirà di avere dati affidabili sia in relazione al moto ondoso che agli effetti dello stesso sulle coste. La rete nel suo complesso coprirà una superficie di circa 5000 kmq, contribuendo in modo significativo all'indicatore di risultato del programma (3.2 Superficie monitorata da sistemi tecnologici transfrontalieri) pari a 47.500 kmq"; 2) "Il sistema consentirà di ridurre l'esposizione della popolazione ai rischi connessi a mareggiate eccezionali, la cui severità è incrementata a causa dei cambiamenti climatici in atto. Il sistema verrà testato in 3 siti campione che interessano una superficie complessiva di 70 kmq, contribuendo all'indicatore di risultato del programma (3.2 Superficie monitorata da sistemi tecnologici transfrontalieri) pari a 47.500 kmq. Il sistema risulta tuttavia immediatamente estendibile ad altri siti"</p> <p>5. The AF includes 2 declaration of intent by Civil Protection of the two MS'. <u>However, the two entities refer that they will further assess after the project closure the tools and methods developed by the project in order to evaluate their implementation into their procedures</u></p> <p>6. The AF (word section) reports 2 resilience campaigns.</p>
		Budget	Budget previous AF
			€ 1.309.344,21
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
3.1	HARMONY	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-ISPRRA; PP3-CNR IAMC; PP4-Assessorato Regionale dell'agricoltura dello Sviluppo Rurale e della Pesca Mediterranea, PP5-UoM; PP6-Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, PP7-ERA
		1. Scheduling proper activities and outputs related to the approval of a joint Legislative framework able to concretely assure the durability of the project findings (criterion 2.4). More specifically the three governmental bodies should carry out proper activities able to assure: 1) the alignment of the policy actions between the two countries; 2) a concrete legislative regulations able to take on board all the project findings; 3) the control measures to reduce the likelihood of alteration due to the alien species spread and the seafloor integrity at sites of high ecological (biodiversity) and socio-economic value (Nature 2000 sites and habitat) 2. Indicating output indicators able to demonstrate the achievement of the scheduled project result n. 2 "Sviluppo di strategie congiunte di policy" (criterion 3.1.4) 3. Reshaping activity 1.1 within WP1 (criterion 5.1) 4. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) 5. Re-wording the "project manager" and "financial manager" for the PPs different from the LP (criterion 5.3) 6. Revising activities of PP4 according to the need of the project result n. 03 (criterion 7.2) 7. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018	1. The AF schedules activities related to the creation of a "Rete partecipativa per le Common Policy (network ReCPolicy, O5.5) il cui core group, costituito dai PP istituzionali con competenze politico-amministrative (PP istituzionali PP4, PP6, PP7), avrà il compito di coinvolgere tutte le autorità competenti sul territorio direttamente coinvolte... I PP istituzionali faciliteranno l'integrazione dell'analisi di contesto con le best practice acquisite in HARMONY promuovendone trasferimento e capitalizzazione su una scala spaziale più ampia sempre nell'ambito dell'area transfrontaliera, tramite il suggerimento di schemi di protocolli attuativi armonizzati. Nello specifico PP4 si impegna a produrre "note integrative" da sottoporre al parere della Consulta Regionale Pesca ed attivare le fasi ed i processi di promozione a livello regionale ai sensi dell'Art. 17 L.R. 4/2/1980, n. 1. Provvedimenti per la razionalizzazione della pesca in Sicilia. Artt. 15.16.17". 2. As per the project result n. 2 "Sviluppo di strategie congiunte di policy", the AF reports "Interventi di monitoraggio e controllo condivisi, linee guida tecniche sistematizzate e protocolli attuativi armonizzati permetteranno di: 1) rafforzare la sostenibilità dei risultati specifici di progetto attivando processi di cooperazione ed interazione tra i PP; 2) incrementare strategie congiunte di gestione tramite trasferimento diretto agli attori della governance transfrontaliera" and the related output "Soggetti coinvolti nella Rete partecipativa per le Common Policy (ReCPolicy), per il monitoraggio e controllo dell'integrità dei fondali e delle IAS" 3. Activity 1.1 within WP1 was reshaped 4. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed 5. "Project manager" and "financial manager" for the PPs different from the LP were re-worded 6. According to the need of the project result n. 03 "Istituzione di network di comunicazione transfrontaliero", the activities were reshaped as follows: "Un ulteriore output sarà rappresentato dalla Rete partecipativa per le Common Policy (network ReCPolicy, O5.5) il cui core group, costituito dai PP istituzionali con competenze politico-amministrative (PP istituzionali PP4, PP6, PP7), avrà il compito di coinvolgere tutte le autorità competenti sul territorio direttamente coinvolte. Il network garantirà una elaborazione condivisa di proposte per la gestione armonizzata degli ecosistemi, meccanismi di collaborativa governance coerenti con quanto indicato dalla MSFD (D2 e D6)". On this regards the budget of PP4 includes "Partecipazione attività di elaborazione e sintesi di Common Policy" and "Attività di comunicazione a supporto dei processi di trasferimento" amounting to € 10.000,00 7. The AF includes the working plan updated

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS		FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Budget	Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF
			€ 1.675.245,76	€ 1.675.245,76
			Of which public	Of which public
			€ 1.620.550	€ 1.620.550
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.	n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
3.2	CALYPSO SOUTH	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2- UNIPA; PP3-Transport Malta; PP4-UNICT; PP5-ARPA; PP6-CNR IAMC; PP7-Civil Protection Department
		1. Re-wording the project general objective (criterion 3.1.1) 2. Re-wording the project specific objectives (criterion 3.1.3) 3. Indicating the reference of project main outputs to programme output indicators in table B as well as within the section C.5 of each WPs (criterion 3.2) 4. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) 5. Re-wording the communication objectives (criterion 6.1) 6. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018	1. The project general objective was re-worded 2. The project specific objectives were re-worded 3. The reference of project main outputs to programme output indicators in Table B as well as in section C.5 of each WPs is indicated. 4. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed 5. The communication objectives were re-worded 6. The AF includes the working plan updated
		Budget € 2.500.000	Budget revised AF € 2.500.000
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
2.1	ENISIE	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 17 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-The Hub Sicilia Soc. Coop.; PP3-Malta Enterprise; PP4-Malta Council for Voluntary Sector
		1. Re-wording the "project main output" in the table B as well as the measure unit that doesn't match with the presumed output as indicated into the AF (criterion 3.1.4) 2. Inserting a valid output able to demonstrate the achievement of the following results indicators settled at programme level: 2.1.a - enterprises which engage in cross border commercial activities; 2.1.b - New employees of active enterprises in intervention fields: safeguarding the environment and the quality of life and citizens' health. (criterion 3.1.4 and criterion A3) 3. Inserting a specific set of outputs indicators in connection with the indicator 2.1.b. (criterion 3.1.4) 4. Indicating how many enterprises will be engaged in cross border commercial activities in the frame of the project as well as how many new employees of active enterprises in intervention fields: safeguarding the environment and the quality of life and citizens' health (criterion 3.2) 5. Indicating the reference of project main outputs to programme output indicators in table B as well as within the section C.5 of each WPs (criterion 3.2) 6. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) 7. Re-wording the set of outputs envisaged for the entire project since currently they are activities (criterion 7.1) 8. Reducing the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for LP, PP 2 and PP 3 due to the fact that they declared to recover VAT	1. "Project main output" in the table B as well as the measure unit were re-worded and they match with the presumed output as indicated into the AF 2. The Application Form reports valid outputs able to demonstrate the achievement of the result indicator "2.1.a-enterprises which engage in cross border commercial activities" and "2.1.b-New employees of active enterprises in intervention fields: safeguarding the environment and the quality of life and citizens' health: - Output 5.4 – n. 8 enterprises engaged in cross border commercial activities - Output 6.4 – n. 12 new employees in the intervention fields 3. The Application form reports the following output indicator " <i>Nuovi occupati delle imprese attive nei settori di intervento: salvaguardia dell'ambiente e qualità della vita e salute dei cittadini</i> " in connection with programme result indicator "2.1.b-New employees of active enterprises in intervention fields: safeguarding the environment and the quality of life and citizens' health" 4. The AF reports n. 8 enterprises engaged in cross border commercial activities and n. 12 new employees in the intervention fields 5. The AF-Table B reports the reference of project main outputs to programme results indicators and not to programme output indicators. However, section C.5 of each WPs is coherent 6. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed 7. The set of outputs envisaged for the entire project were re-worded 8. The Lead partner clarifies that the costs related to the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for LP, PP2 and PP3 were originally calculated net of VAT
		Budget	Budget previous AF
			€ 1.439.856
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.
			€ 1.439.856
			n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UNIPA; PP3-UNICT; PP4-Civil Protection Department Malta; PP5-UoM.
3.2	SIMIT THARSY	<p>1. Adding a result indicator able to measure the number of citizens involved in activities aimed at increasing their resilience capacity to face the risk scenarios (criterion 3.1.5)</p> <p>2. Alignment between the target value of the indicators indicated in section C.5 per each WPs and the ones of Table B "Coherence at project level" (criterion 3.2)</p> <p>3. Listing in WP2 section, column "project specific objectives", the three project SOs (criterion 6.1)</p> <p>4. Providing information on approach/tactics in order to reach each communication objectives and erasing the deliverable 2.1.1 "Elaborazione linea grafica di progetto" (criterion 6.2)</p> <p>5. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018 (criterion 7.3)</p> <p>6. Demonstrating the cross border added value as well as the direct relevance for the project purpose of the equipment purchase (e.g. vehicles). Otherwise, the depreciation rules have to be applied (criterion 7.5).</p> <p>7. Revising the AF (excel and word sections) in order to properly explain each cost estimated under "External expertise and services" and "Equipment" line items. (criterion 8.1 and criterion 8.5)</p> <p>8. Indicating a clearer reference to which indicator could contribute to risk reduction (criterion B2)</p>	<p>1. In correspondence to the project result indicator "<i>Miglioramento della conoscenza sulla valutazione e mitigazione del rischio da sisma/tsunami e relativo impatto sulla popolazione</i>", the AF reports "<i>Verrà valutato l'incremento della percezione del rischio e l'aumento della resilienza delle comunità esposte ai rischi (sismico e tsunami). Si determinerà un indice attraverso un'indagine conoscitiva (svolta all'inizio e alla fine del progetto) rivolta alla popolazione siciliana e maltese che insiste sulle aree pilota del progetto. Tale indagine consentirà la valutazione della percezione del rischio da parte della popolazione sui temi dei rischi e della resilienza. I risultati dell'indagine iniziale costituiranno un'importante base per delineare in modo accurato le attività da svolgere nel corso del progetto, al fine di aumentare il numero di cittadini consapevoli dei rischi e di incrementare l'indice di resilienza delle comunità del 60%</i>.</p> <p>2. The target value of the indicators indicated in section C.5 per each WPs and the ones of Table B "Coherence at project level" were aligned</p> <p>3. The three project specific objectives were correctly listed in in WP2 section, column "project specific objectives"</p> <p>4. Approach/tactics in order to reach each communication objectives was revised and deliverable 2.1.1 "Elaborazione linea grafica di progetto" was erased</p> <p>5. The AF includes the working plan updated</p> <p>6. The cross border added value as well as the direct relevance for the project purpose of the equipment purchase (e.g. vehicles) is demonstrated in WP4 where it is stated what follows "<i>Ciò includerà il sistema di allerta per i casi pilota, il software necessario, la APP di segnalazione da parte della cittadinanza e la colonna mobile italo-maltese. In particolare, il potenziamento dei mezzi di soccorso terrestre previsti per il Dipartimento di Protezione civile di Malta contribuirà ad aumentare l'integrazione con il sistema di protezione civile siciliano nell'ottica di una cooperazione transfrontaliera fattiva durante un evento catastrofico. Tali mezzi saranno pertanto disponibili per le attività congiunte previste. Per questo motivo la costituzione della colonna mobile italo-maltese, così come l'acquisizione di tutta l'attrezzatura prevista, sono da considerarsi output principali del progetto</i>"</p> <p>7. Cost estimated under "External expertise and services" and "Equipment" line items were better detailed in section C9 as well as a justification regarding the presumed overestimation of the budget related to communication activities was provided. In particular, it is explained that the communication activities are coherent also because they will contribute to the indicator of risk</p>

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS		FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
				8. reduction and resilience of population The AF reports a specific deliverable in WP2, namely "Definizione e calcolo dell'indice di resilienza" whose target value is 60%
		Budget	Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF
			€ 2.500.000	€ 2.500.000
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.	n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UNIME; PP3-UNIKORE; PP4-UoM; PP5-Arkimed srl.
2.1	I KNOW	<p>1. Indicating the set of outputs corresponding to the third project SO "aumentare il numero degli accordi commerciali in seguito alle accresciute competenze delle PMI per l'accesso e la presenza sui mercati", as well as an indicator and the measure unit (criterion 3.1.4 and criterion A3)</p> <p>2. Indicating the measure unit of the programme result indicators as well as the related reliable sources in the corresponding section of the AF (Section C.2.1 - table A) (criterion 3.1.5)</p> <p>3. Indicating how many enterprises will be engaged in cross border commercial activities in the frame of the project. at programme level (criterion 3.2)</p> <p>4. Indicating the coherence and the logical approach between the outputs corresponding to the SO 1 at project level "sostenere la creazione di start up innovative nei settori della sostenibilità ambientale e della qualità della vita e salute dell'uomo" and the SO 2 "sostenere le PMI nei processi di open innovation e di collaborazione tra loro in tale ambito". Currently, the two SOs seem to be not connected between them also taking into account their corresponding indicators as well as the target group (criterion 3.2)</p> <p>5. Erasing the deliverable 2.1.2 "layout grafico" (criterion 6.2)</p> <p>6. Merging some activities included in WP3 and WP5 (criterion 7.1)</p> <p>7. Aligning the working plan as reported in section C.7 with the date reported in WP3 and WP4. Reducing the timing of execution for the activities related to WP3 (15 months) due to its overestimation and its eventual merge with some action of WP 5. (criterion 7.3)</p> <p>8. Budget modification (criterion 8.1 and criterion 8.5))</p> <p>- Reporting the related reference in the column "DELIVERABLE" for all PPs within the excel section and the line items and expenditures where they are</p>	<p>1. The project indicates the additional output as well as the indicator and the measure unit, namely Output 6.2b "n. 6 Accordi commerciali transfrontalieri stipulati"</p> <p>2. The project provides the integration in Section C.2.1 - table A indicating that the project result "contribuisce all'indicatore di programma 2.1 a - Imprese che attivano operazioni commerciali transfrontaliere, realizzando la stipula di numero 6 accordi commerciali transfrontalieri"</p> <p>3. The project indicates n. 6 enterprises engaged in cross border commercial activities in the frame of the project</p> <p>4. The project revises the coherence and the logical approach between the outputs corresponding to the SO and SO2, improving the wording to describe them</p> <p>5. The deliverable 2.1.2 "layout grafico" was erased</p> <p>6. A series of activities related to the Circular economy, previously scheduled in WP3 were erased and included in WP5</p> <p>7. The working plan as well as WP3 and WP4 timing was aligned. Activities 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 were reduced of about two months.</p> <p>8. Budget modifications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Where missing the reference to the deliverable within the excel version of the A was reported - The line item "travel and accommodation was reduced of the amount of € 28.346, namely € 10.200 for LP, € 5.906 for PP3 and € 12.240 for PP4. - The line item "external expertise and services" for PP4 was reduced of € 217.699,90 - The depreciation rules for PP2 and PP5 for the line item "Equipment" were applied </p> <p>9. The AF includes the working plan updated</p> <p>10. The Lead partner clarifies that the costs related to the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for LP and PP5 were originally calculated net of VAT in line with the provision of par 9.6.1 of programme manual</p>

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILTY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		<p>missing;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reducing of the 40% the line item "travel and accomodotion" (totaling Euro € 28.281,50) for LP, PP 3 and PP 4 - Reducing of the 80% the line item "external expertise and services" (totaling Euro € 204.000) for PP4 - Application of the depreciation rules for PP2 and PP5 for the line item "Equipment" <p>9. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018 (criterion 7.3)</p> <p>10. Reducing the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for LP and PP5 due to the fact that they declared to recover VAT</p>	
Budget		Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF
		€ 1.986.320,67	€ 1.548.103,70
Breakdown costs per PPs		PP1 – PSTS: € 503.031,68 PP2 – UNIME: € 250.041,25 PP3 – UKE: € 249.842,00 PP4 – UoM: € 783.433,00 PP5 – ARKIMEDE: € 199.972,74	PP1 – PSTS: € 492.831,68 PP2 – UNIME: € 228.954,25 PP3 – UKE: € 239.932,00 PP4 – UoM: € 422.015,63 PP5 – ARKIMEDE: € 164.370,14

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 18 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UoM, Institut of earth System; PP3-UNIPA, Dipartimento Scienze della Terra e del Mare; PP4-INGV, Sezione Palermo; PP5-Government of Malta-Ministry for Gozo
3.2	BESS	<p>1. Scheduling a series of activities in charge to MGOZ (as unique MT governmental entity within the partnership) that will assure the signature of the international agreement and will allow the INGV to further manage the Information System and further receive the institutional and scientific contribution by all competent Maltese authorities (criterion 4.3)</p> <p>2. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2)</p> <p>3. Detailing specific activities in charge of UNIPA and the role of MGOZ as well as "who" does "what" within WP5 (criterion 7.2)</p> <p>4. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018 (criterion 7.3)</p> <p>5. Budget reduction</p> <p><u>Having regards to WP1</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a reduction of the entire WP 1 budget has to be applied till to the ceiling of 20% (mainly referred to the LP-UNIME under the line item "external expertise and services costs"); - a reduction of the line items "travel and accomodation" for LP and PP5 has to be done accordingly <p><u>Having regards to WP 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PP5-MGOZ: reshaping of the whole activity and corresponding budget having particular attention to the line item "external expertise and services costs". 	<p>1. In relation to the signature of the international agreement that will allow the INGV to manage the Information System, the AF schedules activities in WP5 in charge to MGOZ. In particolar the AF reports as follows "<i>Il Framework Istituzionale del progetto verrà avviato fin dall'inizio dal Ministero di Gozo che insieme al Ministero degli Esteri avvierà per le vie diplomatiche la proposta al Governo Italiano. L'accordo prevedrà la conservazione dei dati raccolti e la loro disseminazione agli enti pubblici e ai ricercatori. L'accordo prevedrà anche la partecipazione dei Ministeri dell'Università Maltese (Università di Malta) e Italiano (Università di Palermo e Messina)</i>". Furthermore the AF provides the information about the steps to sign the agreement.</p> <p>2. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed.</p> <p>3. The AF details the activities in charge of DiSTem-UNIPA under the WP3 and WP4 and it provides the information already reported in the point n. 1 in relation to the role of MGOZ.</p> <p>4. The AF includes the working plan updated.</p> <p>5. The project budget was revised as follows:</p> <p><u>Having regards to WP 1</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - budgeted costs falling within WP1 were reduced till to the ceiling of 20% (€ 10.800 referred to the LP-UNIME under the line item "external expertise and services costs"); - budgeted costs falling within "travel and accommodation" for LP and PP5 were reduced. In particular, LP reduced its budget of € 8.000 and PP5 reduced its budget of € 6.000. <p><u>Having regards to WP 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>PP5-MGOZ:</u> the budget costs within "external expertise and services costs" were reshaped, although the total amount of line item is unchanged.

Budget	Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF
	€ 2.115.090	€ 2.074.359,00
Breakdown costs per PPs	PP1 – UNIME: € 1.201.090,00 PP2 – ICoD: € 464.500,00 PP3 – UNIPA: € 90.000,00 PP4 – INGV: € 124.000,00 PP5 – MGOZ: € 235.500,00	PP1 – UNIME: € 1.172.359,00 PP2 – ICoD: € 462.500,00 PP3 – UNIPA: € 88.000,00 PP4 – INGV: € 122.000,00 PP5 – MGOZ: € 229.500,00

Con riferimento all'istruttoria effettuata dal Segretariato Congiunto - dove lo stesso ravvisa l'opportunità di inserire all'interno dei contratti di sovvenzione specifiche clausole atte ad assicurare il pieno raggiungimento degli indicatori di risultato per i progetti NEWS, HARMONY e BESS - il Comitato Direttivo decide che tali specifiche dovranno essere inserite all'interno della nota di comunicazione dell'approvazione del progetto da parte dell'AdG e non all'interno dei contratti di sovvenzione.

Si passa alla trattazione del **punto 3 - Esame e approvazione delle risposte alle controdeduzioni trasmesse da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con il seguente status: "Progetto rigettato".**

A seguito della disamina delle controdeduzioni trasmesse all'AdG - nei tempi e nelle modalità previste dall'Avviso 01/2016 - da parte dei potenziali capofila il cui progetto è stato valutato con lo status di "progetto rigettato", il Comitato Direttivo approva gli schemi di risposta alle controdeduzioni dei progetti MARINE MEETER, MARA, INCOMING, AGRIQUALECO, che costituiscono parte integrante del presente verbale. Il Comitato Direttivo dà mandato all'AdG di notificare le stesse ai rispettivi capofila.

Con riferimento alle proposte progettuali BIOWATER e BIOCENTRO il Segretariato Congiunto porta a conoscenza del Comitato Direttivo che non sono stati predisposti degli schemi di risposta poiché tali controdeduzioni sono state inviate solamente all'indirizzo di posta elettronica del SC e non – come previsto dall'Avviso 01/2016- al Responsabile del procedimento – AdG.

Gianpaolo Simone propone che tali controdeduzioni vengano trattate da parte del Comitato Direttivo nella considerazione che se un ufficio dell'Amministrazione riceve un'istanza che di fatto avrebbe dovuto essere trasmessa ad altro ufficio, il ricevente è tenuto all'inoltro dell'istanza all'ufficio competente al fine di assicurarne la risposta.

Pertanto, il dott. Simone propone che il Segretariato Congiunto proceda ad istruire le controdeduzioni dei progetti BIOWATER e BIOCENTRO al fine di consentire all'AdG l'avvio di una procedura scritta del Comitato direttivo l'approvazione delle stesse.

Con specifico riferimento alle controdeduzioni pervenute ed esaminate, i componenti del Comitato Direttivo decidono che non ricorre alcuna condizione tale da ritenere opportuna la trasmissione delle stesse al Comitato di Sorveglianza come previsto dall'art. 11 dell'Avviso 01/2016. Pertanto la formulazione delle risposte alle controdeduzioni è da ritenersi un procedimento concluso e chiede all'AdG che tale frase venga inserita all'interno di ciascuna nota.

Alle ore 18.00 si concludono i lavori della prima giornata del Comitato Direttivo.

Il giorno 5 Dicembre 2017 alle h 9:00 vengono avviati i lavori della seconda giornata del Comitato Direttivo.

Si procede alla trattazione del **punto 4 - Approvazione delle graduatorie definitive per la successiva trasmissione al Comitato di Sorveglianza relativamente alle proposte progettuali a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 2.1, 3.1 e 3.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016.**

Il Segretariato Congiunto porta a conoscenza del CD che per effetto di un'ulteriore revisione delle schede di verifica della qualità è emerso che con riferimento ai progetti: SIMASEED, AGRIQUALECO, TRANSEAT, SAFE HAVEN, SAFE e SEMPER è stato commesso un errore di calcolo in merito al criterio 1.5. Nello specifico, in corrispondenza del criterio 1.5 la scheda di verifica della qualità non riportava il calcolo automatico excel del punteggio attribuito al suddetto criterio.

Pertanto il Comitato Direttivo procede a revisionare le schede di verifica della qualità delle proposte progettuali SIMASEED, AGRIQUALECO, TRANSEAT, SAFE HAVEN, SAFE e SEMPER che ottengono il punteggio finale di seguito riportato.

A seguito dell'approvazione degli application form revisionati di cui al precedente punto 2, si approvano le graduatorie definitive delle proposte progettuali a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 2.1, 3.1 e 3.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016 di seguito riportate. Si approvano altresì i seguenti elenchi definitivi delle proposte progettuali risultate inammissibili alla fase di valutazione a valere sugli obiettivi specifici 2.1, 3.1 e 3.2 dell'Avviso 01/2016.

Elenco 1 – Graduatoria Obiettivo Specifico 2.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo <i>Acronym</i>	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
80	ENISIE	Tree S.r.l.	The Hub Sicilia Società Cooperativa	Malta Enterprise	Malta Council for Voluntary Sector		1.439.856	1.439.856	-	401	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.223.878
65	I KNOW	PARCO SCIENTIFICO E TECNOLOGICO DELLA SICILIA S.C.P.A.	Università degli Studi di Messina	Libera Università degli Studi di Enna "Kore""	University of Malta	Arkimedē srl	1.548.104	1.548.104	-	349	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.315.888

Elenco 2 – Graduatoria Obiettivo Specifico 3.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIAB ILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
16	SiMaSeed	UNICT Dipartimento di S. Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali	UNIMA- Argotti Botanic Gardens and Resource Centre	Regione Siciliana - Dipartimento Regionale Sviluppo Rurale e Territoriale	Ministry for Gozo					1.884.981	1.884.981	0	415	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.602.234
31	HARMONY	Università degli Studi di Palermo	Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale	CONSIGLIO NAZIONALE DELLE RICERCHE	ASSESSORATO DELL'AGRICOLT URA DELLO SVILUPPO RURALE E DELLA PESCA MEDITERRANEA	University of Malta	DEPARTM ENT OF FISHERIES AND AQUACUL TURE	Environment and Resources Authority		1.675.246	1.675.246		395	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.377.468
8	INCOMING INnovative COatings Marine protectioN	UNIME	CNR	UNIMA						1.502.819	1.502.819	0	312	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
93	AGRIQUALECO	UNIPA	Consorzio di Ricerca Innovazione Tecnologica della Serricoltura	Comune di Castronovo di Sicilia	COMUNE DI FAVIGNANA - ISOLE EGADI	Xaghra Local Council				1.631.284	1.500.000	131.284	282	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
96	MARINE MEETER	CNR - IAMC Messina	UNIMA	Environment and Resources Authority	Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture	INFN - Laboratori Nazionali del Sud	UNIPA	Area Marina Protetta Isole Pelagie	Comune di Milazzo	2.100.000	1.900.000	200.000	257	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	

N. Ulysses	Acronimo <i>Acronym</i>	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIAB ILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
98	RIWETNET	Gozo Regional Committee	MIEMA	Libero Consorzio Comunale di Ragusa	Comune di Pachino	Parco Fluviale dell'Alcantara				1.384.640	1.384.640	-	254	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
71	ApesIndicum	University of Malta	Unict - DIBA	Mellieha local Council	Città Metropolitana di Catania					1.994.968	1.994.968	-	245	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
59	MARA	UNIPA	University of Malta	Comune di Custonaci	Building Industry Consultative Council					1.150.000	1.135.104	14.896	236	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
56	BioWater	Għarb Local Council	GENISTA FOUNDATION	IZS SICILIA	COMUNE DI GELA					2.070.000	2.070.000	-	208	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
48	MediBioRes	Consorzio Universitario della Provincia di Palermo	UNIPA Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie e Forestali	Azienda Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente	Ente Parco delle Madonie	UNIMA Departement of Biology				1.950.000	1.950.000	-	199	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	

Elenco 3 – Graduatoria Obiettivo Specifico 3.2

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
79	CALYPSO SOUTH	University of Malta	Universita' di Palermo	Transport Malta	Universita' di Catania	Agenzia Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente della Sicilia	Istituto Ambiente Marino Costiero	Civil Protection Department	2.500.000	2.500.000	-	454	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	2.125.000
57	SIMIT-THARSY	Regione Siciliana Presidenza-Dipartimento della protezione civile	UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO	UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI CATANIA	CIVIL PROTECTION DEPARTMENT MALTA	UNIVERSITY OF MALTA			2.500.000	2.500.000	-	407	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	2.125.000
5	BESS	UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MESSINA	University of Malta - Euro-Mediterranean Centre on Insular Coastal Dynamics	Università di Palermo, Dipartimento Scienze della Terra e del Mare	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia-Sezione Palermo	Government of Malta-Ministry for Gozo			2.074.359	2.074.359		347	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.763.205
60	NEWS	LIBERA UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI ENNA "KORE"	Università degli Studi di Catania	University of Malta	Libero Consorzio Comunale di Ragusa				1.309.344	1.309.344		325	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.112.943

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
81	TRANSEAT	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia	University of Malta	Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare	Istituto Superiore per la Ricerca dell'Ambiente				1.685.825	1.685.825	-	277	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	
61	VOLARE	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia – Osservatorio Etneo – sezione di Catania	INAF Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania	University of Malta	Università Palermo	Stazione di Osservazioni Climatiche ENEA sull'isola di Lampedusa			1.398.769	1.398.769	-	257	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	
77	SAFE	University of Malta	University of Palermo	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e vulcanologia					1.089.172	1.089.172	-	231	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	
99	SAFE HAVEN - PORTO SICURO	MINISTRY OF HEALTH (Malta)	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche	Università degli Studi di Palermo	Agenzia Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente SICILIA	Comune di Palermo	Protezione Civile Maltese		1.819.921	1.819.921	-	173	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	
53	SEMPER	Università degli Studi di Messina	University of Malta						1.303.221	1.303.221	-	168	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	

Elenco 4 – Proposte progettuali inammissibili alla fase di valutazione - Obiettivo Specifico 2.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo del progetto: / Project acronym	Titolo progetto / Project Title:	LP	STATUS	MOTIVAZIONE / REASON
45	CLUSTERBIONET	Clustering e Networking per lo sviluppo e il potenziamento delle PMI – Biomediche, Biotecnologiche, Bioinformatiche.	Istituto Giglio di Cefalù	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 2 e 5 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 2 and 5
78	CoWoMed 2020	Mediterranean CoWorking 2020	Cooperativa Elaborazione Dati e Assistenza Società Cooperativa	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
94	Share.TEC	Sharing Technology and Innovation for Competitiveness of SMEs	CNA Associazione Provinciale di Ragusa	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
101	INNO CRAFT	INNOVATION IN LOCAL TRADITIONAL CRAFT	Gharb Local Council	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 1 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 1
103	IG	INCUBA-GREEN	Malta College of Arts, Science and Technology	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Plico arrivato oltre il termine massimo fissato dall'Avviso Envelop sent after the expiring date indicated within the Public Notice

Elenco 5 – Proposte progettuali inammissibili alla fase di valutazione - Obiettivo Specifico 3.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo del progetto: / Project acronym	Titolo progetto / Project Title:	LP	STATUS	MOTIVAZIONE / REASON
33	BIOCENTRO	Biodiversity and Environmental Conservation Research in the Central Mediterranean	University of Malta	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 8
2	TRANSGRASS	TRANSpplantation of seaGRASS	ISAFoM - Institute for mediterranean agriculture and forest systems	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 5 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 5
39	FAST	Fight Alien Species Transborder	Università di Catania	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 5 e mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 5 and lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
49	GOT SEA	GOODNESS OF THE SEA	Comune di Castellammare del Golfo	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Plico arrivato oltre il termine massimo fissato dall'Avviso/Envelope sent after the expiring date indicated within the Public Notice
67	BEST	Beaches promotE Sustainable Tourism	Università degli Studi di Palermo	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto ai criteri 7 e 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criteria 7 and 8

N. Ulysses	Acronimo del progetto: / Project acronym	Titolo progetto / Project Title:	LP	STATUS	MOTIVAZIONE / REASON
72	CEFAD	Collateral effects of anchored Fish aggregation device (aFAD) on benthic communities and surrounding valuable stocks (Shrimp and Norway lobster); comparing two contiguous aFAD grounds (SE Sicily an	Università di Messina	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità degli aspetti finanziari rispetto al criterio 9 Lack of Financial aspects compliance with criterion 9
76	MITHOS	Rete Maltese e ITaliana di osservatori idrografici e biologici per il monitoraggio a lungo termine in uno Scenario di cambiamento climatico/Maltese and ITalian Hydrographic and biological Observorie	Agenzia nazionale per le nuove tecnologie, l'energia e lo sviluppo economico sostenibile	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
83	REMMeloon 2020	Restoration and Management of Central Mediterranean Coastal Lagoons	Ministry for Transport and Infrastructure	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto ai criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterio 8
84	AReCECC	Achieving Resilience in Coastal Ecosystems to Climate Change – Restoring and Managing Natura 2000 sites towards climate resilience	Malta College of Arts, Science and Technology	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 5 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 5
89	UTBL	Under The Blue Line	Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Sicilia	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto ai criteri 7 e 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criteria 7 and 8
92	BioVine	Biodiversità in vigneto	Istituto regionale del Vino e dell'Olio	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 7 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 7

Elenco 6 – Proposte progettuali inammissibili alla fase di valutazione - Obiettivo Specifico 3.2

N. Ulysses	Acronimo del progetto: / Project acronym	Titolo progetto / Project Title:	LP	STATUS	MOTIVAZIONE / REASON
69	GIFLUID	Green Infrastructures to mitigate FLood risks in Urban and sub-urban areas and to Improve the quality of Drainage water	Università degli Studi di Catania - Di3A	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
15	S4	Smart System for a Sustainable Sea monitoring	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
26	SUMMER	SUstainable ManageMent of coastal Erosion	Università di Palermo-Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e del Mare	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
86	SURF OFF	Metodi innovativi per il controllo e il monitoraggio dei reflui di produzione agricola, industriale e degli allevamenti di acquacoltura	Agenzia Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente - Sicilia	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 4 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 4

Si passa alla trattazione del **punto 5 - Esame e approvazione della griglia di valutazione dell'ammissibilità per la proposta progettuale a valere dell'OS 2.2.**

A seguito di un approfondimento dal parte dell'AdG sullo status giuridico del capofila del progetto a valere sull'OS 2.2, il Comitato Direttivo approva la scheda di verifica dell'ammissibilità del progetto JOB MATCH che costituisce parte integrante del presente verbale e dà mandato all'AdG di notificare la stessa al capofila.

Si passa alla trattazione del **Punto 7 – Varie ed eventuali** all'interno del quale si procede ad inserire il seguente argomento: "Ammissione alla fase di valutazione del progetto MEDNETS". Il Comitato Direttivo, vista l'ordinanza del 23 novembre 2017 pronunciata dal Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Sicilia (Sezione Prima) sul ricorso numero di registro 2508 del 2017 proposto da Ass.For.Seo Società Consortile A R.L. che "dispone l'ammissione con riserva della parte ricorrente alle successive fasi della procedura per cui è causa" e "fissa per la trattazione di merito del ricorso l'udienza pubblica del 22 novembre 2018", prende atto che il progetto risulta "ammissibile alla successiva fase di valutazione".

Francesca Angori rappresenta l'opportunità di accelerare le procedure di selezione del IV componente del Segretariato Congiunto vista l'ingente mole di lavoro in capo agli attuali componenti del SC. A tal proposito ricorda che il SC dovrà nell'immediato assicurare sia l'avvio delle proposte progettuali (con la relativa contrattualizzazione, un'adeguata attività di formazione ai beneficiari delle proposte relativamente ai processi di gestione, attuazione, monitoraggio e rendicontazione) sia l'avvio di un nuovo avviso pubblico per l'immediato utilizzo delle economie registrate a valere dell'Avviso 01/2016.

Il **Segretariato Congiunto** rappresenta anche l'opportunità di prevedere delle "targeted call" al fine di assicurare una progettualità da parte del territorio più aderente al fabbisogno degli indicatori di risultato e di output del programma. Il Segretariato Congiunto rappresenta altresì la necessità di modificare i criteri di selezione in funzione della targeted call e di prevedere dei valutatori esterni ciò anche al fine di assicurare strumenti più adeguati alla procedura compatibilmente con le risorse umane disponibili.

Alle ore 15.00 si concludono i lavori della seconda giornata del Comitato Direttivo.

The members of the Executive Committee of the INTERREG V-A Italia Malta, hereby listed in the annexed attendance sheet and part of the minutes (Annex 1), met at the Dipartimento regionale della Programmazione of the Regione Siciliana, at 09:30 a.m. on 04-05 December 2017. The Joint Secretariat were also present for the the Executive Committee meeting.

Gianpaolo Simone, the acting chairperson, opened the proceedings of the 5th Executive Committee meeting of INTERREG V-A Italia Malta programme, welcomed the participants and read the agenda:

1. Approval of the agenda

2. Examination and approval of the revised AFs submitted by the potential LPs whose projects have been evaluated with the following status: "project eligible for funding under pending conditions";
3. Examination and approval of the answers to the counter-deductions submitted by the potential LPs whose projects have been evaluated with the following status: "project rejected";
4. Approval of the final lists – for the further submition to the MC – having regard to the project proposals falling within specific objectives 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 of the Public Notice 01/2016;
5. Examination and approval of the admissibility grid regarding the project proposals falling within specific objectives 2.2;
6. Examination and approval of the first evaluation grids falling within specific objectives 1.1;
7. Any other business

Gianpaolo Simone acquires by Mr Raphael Scerri the delegation sent by Mrs Maria Catania and declares that the quorum has been reached and the meeting of the Executive Committee (ExC) of INTERREG V-A Italia Malta is valid . Thus, the amended agenda is approved with the elimination of the issue to be dealt with point 6. What above also considering that the ExC believes that the evaluation grids have to be dealt with as a whole.

The meeting moved on to **agenda item 2 - Examination and approval of the revised AFs submitted by the potential LPs whose projects have been evaluated with the following status: "project eligible for funding under pending conditions".**

Following the examination of the revised application forms of SIMASEED, NEWS, HARMONY, CALYPSO SOUTH, ENISIE, SIMIT THARSY, I KNOW and BESS projects, submitted by lead partners and seen by the JS, the Executive Committee verified that they respected the contents of the quality check grids approved by the ExC. Thus, the revised application forms above mentioned are approved.

Evaluation of revised Application Forms

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
3.1	SiMaSeed	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UoM, PP3-Dip. Sviluppo Rurale e Territoriale, PP4-Ministry for Gozo
		4. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) 5. Aligning the data reported in the section C5 "work plan per work packages" (activities n. 2.3 and 3.5) with the data of the section C.7 "Working plan" 6. Drafting and scheduling one or more additional activities dealing with a feasibility and management plan among PPs in order to assure the maintenance of the project findings and in particular of the Seed Banks. More specifically, it is compulsory that the plan will include: 1) the Human resources and means dedicated to the Seed Banks; 2) the management costs (5 years at least); 3) PPs involved in supporting these costs; 4) Finally, the management plan has to be approved among the partnership and also in relation to the administrative rules of the two MS' (criterion A1)	2. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed 3. Activities n. 2.3 and 3.5 included within section C5 "workplan per work packages" were duly implemented within section C.7 "Working plan" 4. Additional activity and related deliverable named "Activity 3.6" and "Deliverable 3.6.1" were included in WP3 of the Application Form. In particular, <u>Activity 3.6</u> reports " <i>At the end of the project, Partners will adopt a Feasibility and Management Plan in order to ensure that the project outcomes continue to operate after the end of the project (minimum 5 years) and also on how Partners will continue to collaborate to capitalize the project objectives. The Management Plan will include, human resources and means dedicated to the Seed Banks, the management costs (5 years at least), role and responsibility of the PPs hosting Seed Banks (within public and solid institutions) and their involvement in supporting costs and/or resources</i> ". On the other side the related <u>deliverable 3.6.1</u> reports " <i>n. 1 Feasibility and Management Plan agreed by the partners (also in relation to the administrative rules of the two MS)</i> "
		Budget	Budget previous AF
			€ 1.884.981,20
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.
			n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 17 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UNICT, PP3-UoM, PP4-Libero Consorzio di Ragusa
3.2	NEWS	<p>7. Revising the whole WP2 in relation to objectives, tactics/approach, activities and corresponding costs (criterion 6.1). Defining the activities related to the resilience campaigns within WP2 (criterion B4)</p> <p>8. Revising the project budget as follows (criterion 8.1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Having regards to WP 1, the LP , PP2 and PP3 budgeted costs falling within travel and accommodation respectively in Enna, Catania and Malta territories that have to be cut for a total amount of 10.000 - Having regards to WP2, LP and PP3 have to better detail and justify the costs and the activities related to the campaigns, the seminars and the website. Finally, adequate details have to be provided in relation to the costs of the APP device. <p>9. Providing a clear evidence and eventual additional documentation about the technical and the administrative modality on how the data provided by the HF SeaSonde radar stations from Calypso project are processed and used (criterion B1)</p> <p>10. Providing a clearer reference to which indicator could contribute to risk reduction (criterion B2)</p> <p>11. Involving the civil protection of the two MS' in terms of availability of such authorities and eventual additional documentation to share and implement in their internal procedure the tools developed by the project (criterion B3)</p> <p>12. Defining the activities related to the resilience campaigns within WP2 (criterion B4)</p>	<p>2. The WP2 is slightly revised and partially addresses the requirements related to objectives, tactics/approach, activities. In particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The wording used to describe the "communication objectives" as reported in WP2 isn't correct. - Tactics/approach are better defined with a clearer definition of how the project plans to reach the target group - Activities remain unchanged. A few modifications were applied to deliverables. - The unique deliverable amendment able to address the comment to criterion B4, refers that during the resilience campaigns a simulation of the risks scenarios as well as an application of the best practices will be experienced by the volunteers and a final report of the results will be provided (2.2.1). Furthermore, the deliverable 2.3.1 reports the involvement of Civil Protection as well as the Capitaneria di Porto during the dissemination events <p>7. The project budget was revised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Having regards to WP 1, budgeted costs falling within travel and accommodation were reduced for the amount corresponding to € 10.000. In particular, LP reduced its budget of € 2.000, PP2 reduced its budget of € 2.000 and PP3 reduced its budget of € 6.000 - Having regards to WP2, the activities and costs are detailed as follows: <p><u>WEB SITE</u> – n. 1 web site up to LP amounting to € 6.000;</p> <p><u>SEMINARS</u> - n. 4 seminars of which n. 2 up to the LP amounting to € 2.000 each; n. 1 seminar up to PP2 amounting to € 2.000; n. 1 seminar up to PP3 amounting to € 4.000</p> <p><u>APP</u> – the LP refers that it deals with the "componente di broadcasting" up to the LP amounting to € 7.000</p> <p><u>CAMPAIGNS</u> – n. 1 campaign up to PP3 amounting to € 28.000 is budgeted. n. 1 campaign up to LP amounting to € 30.000 is budgeted</p>

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
			<p>8. WP4 of the Application Form reports "L'Università di Malta è proprietaria dei dati ed ha progettato e realizzato il sistema Calypso. Il Calypso LP è parte integrante del presente progetto e garantirà anche attraverso consulenze esterne, l'integrazione tecnica dei dati collaborando alla realizzazione delle necessarie infrastrutture e piattaforme informatiche necessarie al processamento e utilizzo dei dati perfezionando altresì l'integrazione dei dati HF con i dati che saranno collezionati dagli altri sistemi di monitoraggio implementati nel presente progetto"</p> <p>9. The AF reports a modification of project results reporting: 1) "La rete di monitoraggio proposta costituirà uno strumento unico per la riduzione dei rischi naturali connessi all'azione del moto ondoso. In particolare essa consentirà di avere dati affidabili sia in relazione al moto ondoso che agli effetti dello stesso sulle coste. La rete nel suo complesso coprirà una superficie di circa 5000 kmq, contribuendo in modo significativo all'indicatore di risultato del programma (3.2 Superficie monitorata da sistemi tecnologici transfrontalieri) pari a 47.500 kmq"; 2) "Il sistema consentirà di ridurre l'esposizione della popolazione ai rischi connessi a mareggiate eccezionali, la cui severità è incrementata a causa dei cambiamenti climatici in atto. Il sistema verrà testato in 3 siti campione che interessano una superficie complessiva di 70 kmq, contribuendo all'indicatore di risultato del programma (3.2 Superficie monitorata da sistemi tecnologici transfrontalieri) pari a 47.500 kmq. Il sistema risulta tuttavia immediatamente estendibile ad altri siti"</p> <p>10. The AF includes 2 declaration of intent by Civil Protection of the two MS'. <u>However, the two entities refer that they will further assess after the project closure the tools and methods developed by the project in order to evaluate their implementation into their procedures</u></p> <p>11. The AF (word section) reports 2 resilience campaigns.</p>
		Budget	Budget previous AF
			€ 1.309.344,21
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-ISPRRA; PP3-CNR IAMC; PP4-Assessorato Regionale dell'agricoltura dello Sviluppo Rurale e della Pesca Mediterranea, PP5-UoM; PP6-Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, PP7-ERA
3.1	HARMONY	<p>8. Scheduling proper activities and outputs related to the approval of a joint Legislative framework able to concretely assure the durability of the project findings (criterion 2.4). More specifically the three governmental bodies should carry out proper activities able to assure: 1) the alignment of the policy actions between the two countries; 2) a concrete legislative regulations able to take on board all the project findings; 3) the control measures to reduce the likelihood of alteration due to the alien species spread and the seafloor integrity at sites of high ecological (biodiversity) and socio-economic value (Nature 2000 sites and habitat)</p> <p>9. Indicating output indicators able to demonstrate the achievement of the scheduled project result n. 2 "Sviluppo di strategie congiunte di policy" (criterion 3.1.4)</p> <p>10. Reshaping activity 1.1 within WP1 (criterion 5.1)</p> <p>11. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2)</p> <p>12. Re-wording the "project manager" and "financial manager" for the PPs different from the LP (criterion 5.3)</p> <p>13. Revising activities of PP4 according to the need of the project result n. 03 (criterion 7.2)</p> <p>14. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018</p>	<p>8. The AF schedules activities related to the creation of a "Rete partecipativa per le Common Policy (network ReCPolicy, O5.5) il cui core group, costituito dai PP istituzionali con competenze politico-amministrative (PP istituzionali PP4, PP6, PP7), avrà il compito di coinvolgere tutte le autorità competenti sul territorio direttamente coinvolte... I PP istituzionali faciliteranno l'integrazione dell'analisi di contesto con le best practice acquisite in HARMONY promovendone trasferimento e capitalizzazione su una scala spaziale più ampia sempre nell'ambito dell'area transfrontaliera, tramite il suggerimento di schemi di protocolli attuativi armonizzati. Nello specifico PP4 si impegna a produrre "note integrative" da sottoporre al parere della Consulta Regionale Pesca ed attivare le fasi ed i processi di promozione a livello regionale ai sensi dell'Art. 17 L.R. 4/2/1980, n. 1. Provvedimenti per la razionalizzazione della pesca in Sicilia. Artt. 15.16.17".</p> <p>9. As per the project result n. 2 "Sviluppo di strategie congiunte di policy", the AF reports "Interventi di monitoraggio e controllo condivisi, linee guida tecniche sistematizzate e protocolli attuativi armonizzati permetteranno di: 1) rafforzare la sostenibilità dei risultati specifici di progetto attivando processi di cooperazione ed interazione tra i PP; 2) incrementare strategie congiunte di gestione tramite trasferimento diretto agli attori della governance transfrontaliera" and the related output "Soggetti coinvolti nella Rete partecipativa per le Common Policy (ReCPolicy), per il monitoraggio e controllo dell'integrità dei fondali e delle IAS"</p> <p>10. Activity 1.1 within WP1 was reshaped</p> <p>11. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed</p> <p>12. "Project manager" and "financial manager" for the PPs different from the LP were re-worded</p> <p>13. According to the need of the project result n. 03 "Istituzione di network di comunicazione transfrontaliero", the activities were reshaped as follows: "Un ulteriore output sarà rappresentato dalla Rete partecipativa per le Common Policy (network ReCPolicy, O5.5) il cui core group, costituito dai PP istituzionali con competenze politico-amministrative (PP istituzionali PP4, PP6, PP7), avrà il compito di coinvolgere tutte le autorità competenti sul territorio direttamente coinvolte. Il network garantirà una elaborazione condivisa di proposte per la gestione armonizzata degli ecosistemi, meccanismi di collaborativa governance coerenti con quanto indicato dalla MSFD (D2 e D6)". On this regards the budget of PP4 includes "Partecipazione attività di elaborazione e sintesi di Common Policy" and "Attività di comunicazione a supporto dei processi di trasferimento" amounting to € 10.000,00</p> <p>14. The AF includes the working plan updated</p>

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS		FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Budget	Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF
			€ 1.675.245,76	€ 1.675.245,76
			Of which public	Of which public
			€ 1.620.550	€ 1.620.550
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.	n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
3.2	CALYPSO SOUTH	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2- UNIPA; PP3-Transport Malta; PP4-UNICT; PP5-ARPA; PP6-CNR IAMC; PP7-Civil Protection Department
		7. Re-wording the project general objective (criterion 3.1.1) 8. Re-wording the project specific objectives (criterion 3.1.3) 9. Indicating the reference of project main outputs to programme output indicators in table B as well as within the section C.5 of each WPs (criterion 3.2) 10. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) 11. Re-wording the communication objectives (criterion 6.1) 12. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018	7. The project general objective was re-worded 8. The project specific objectives were re-worded 9. The reference of project main outputs to programme output indicators in Table B as well as in section C.5 of each WPs is indicated. 10. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed 11. The communication objectives were re-worded 12. The AF includes the working plan updated
		Budget	Budget revised AF
			€ 2.500.000
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
2.1	ENISIE	Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 17 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-The Hub Sicilia Soc. Coop.; PP3-Malta Enterprise; PP4-Malta Council for Voluntary Sector
		9. Re-wording the "project main output" in the table B as well as the measure unit that doesn't match with the presumed output as indicated into the AF (criterion 3.1.4) 10. Inserting a valid output able to demonstrate the achievement of the following results indicators settled at programme level: 2.1.a - enterprises which engage in cross border commercial activities; 2.1.b - New employees of active enterprises in intervention fields: safeguarding the environment and the quality of life and citizens' health. (criterion 3.1.4 and criterion A3) 11. Inserting a specific set of outputs indicators in connection with the indicator 2.1.b. (criterion 3.1.4) 12. Indicating how many enterprises will be engaged in cross border commercial activities in the frame of the project as well as how many new employees of active enterprises in intervention fields: safeguarding the environment and the quality of life and citizens' health (criterion 3.2) 13. Indicating the reference of project main outputs to programme output indicators in table B as well as within the section C.5 of each WPs (criterion 3.2) 14. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2) 15. Re-wording the set of outputs envisaged for the entire project since currently they are activities (criterion 7.1) 16. Reducing the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for LP, PP 2 and PP 3 due to the fact that they declared to recover VAT	9. "Project main output" in the table B as well as the measure unit were re-worded and they matches with the presumed output as indicated into the AF 10. The Application Form reports valid outputs able to demonstrate the achievement of the result indicator "2.1.a-enterprises which engage in cross border commercial activities" and "2.1.b-New employees of active enterprises in intervention fields: safeguarding the environment and the quality of life and citizens' health: - Output 5.4 – n. 8 enterprises engaged in cross border commercial activities - Output 6.4 – n. 12 new employees in the intervention fields 11. The Application form reports the following output indicator " <i>Nuovi occupati delle imprese attive nei settori di intervento: salvaguardia dell'ambiente e qualità della vita e salute dei cittadini</i> " in connection with programme result indicator "2.1.b-New employees of active enterprises in intervention fields: safeguarding the environment and the quality of life and citizens' health" 12. The AF reports n. 8 enterprises engaged in cross border commercial activities and n. 12 new employees in the intervention fields 13. The AF-Table B reports the reference of project main outputs to programme results indicators and not to programme output indicators. However, section C.5 of each WPs is coherent 14. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed 15. The set of outputs envisaged for the entire project were re-worded 16. The Lead partner clarifies that the costs related to the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for LP, PP2 and PP3 were originally calculated net of VAT
		Budget	Budget previous AF
			€ 1.439.856
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.
			€ 1.439.856
			n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UNIPA; PP3-UNICT; PP4-Civil Protection Department Malta; PP5-UoM.
3.2	SIMIT THARSY	<p>9. Adding a result indicator able to measure the number of citizens involved in activities aimed at increasing their resilience capacity to face the risk scenarios (criterion 3.1.5)</p> <p>10. Alignment between the target value of the indicators indicated in section C.5 per each WPs and the ones of Table B "Coherence at project level" (criterion 3.2)</p> <p>11. Listing in WP2 section, column "project specific objectives", the three project SOs (criterion 6.1)</p> <p>12. Providing information on approach/tactics in order to reach each communication objectives and erasing the deliverable 2.1.1 "Elaborazione linea grafica di progetto" (criterion 6.2)</p> <p>13. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018 (criterion 7.3)</p> <p>14. Demonstrating the cross border added value as well as the direct relevance for the project purpose of the equipment purchase (e.g. vehicles). Otherwise, the depreciation rules have to be applied (criterion 7.5).</p> <p>15. Revising the AF (excel and word sections) in order to properly explain each cost estimated under "External expertise and services" and "Equipment" line items. (criterion 8.1 and criterion 8.5)</p> <p>16. Indicating a clearer reference to which indicator could contribute to risk reduction (criterion B2)</p>	<p>9. In correspondence to the project result indicator "<i>Miglioramento della conoscenza sulla valutazione e mitigazione del rischio da sisma/tsunami e relativo impatto sulla popolazione</i>", the AF reports "<i>Verrà valutato l'incremento della percezione del rischio e l'aumento della resilienza delle comunità esposte ai rischi (sismico e tsunami). Si determinerà un indice attraverso un'indagine conoscitiva (svolta all'inizio e alla fine del progetto) rivolta alla popolazione siciliana e maltese che insiste sulle aree pilota del progetto. Tale indagine consentirà la valutazione della percezione del rischio da parte della popolazione sui temi dei rischi e della resilienza. I risultati dell'indagine iniziale costituiranno un'importante base per delineare in modo accurato le attività da svolgere nel corso del progetto, al fine di aumentare il numero di cittadini consapevoli dei rischi e di incrementare l'indice di resilienza delle comunità del 60%</i>.</p> <p>10. The target value of the indicators indicated in section C.5 per each WPs and the ones of Table B "Coherence at project level" were aligned</p> <p>11. The three project specific objectives were correctly listed in in WP2 section, column "project specific objectives"</p> <p>12. Approach/tactics in order to reach each communication objectives was revised and deliverable 2.1.1 "Elaborazione linea grafica di progetto" was erased</p> <p>13. The AF includes the working plan updated</p> <p>14. The cross border added value as well as the direct relevance for the project purpose of the equipment purchase (e.g. vehicles) is demonstrated in WP4 where it is stated what follows "<i>Ciò includerà il sistema di allerta per i casi pilota, il software necessario, la APP di segnalazione da parte della cittadinanza e la colonna mobile italo-maltese. In particolare, il potenziamento dei mezzi di soccorso terrestre previsti per il Dipartimento di Protezione civile di Malta contribuirà ad aumentare l'integrazione con il sistema di protezione civile siciliano nell'ottica di una cooperazione transfrontaliera fattiva durante un evento catastrofico. Tali mezzi saranno pertanto disponibili per le attività congiunte previste. Per questo motivo la costituzione della colonna mobile italo-maltese, così come l'acquisizione di tutta l'attrezzatura prevista, sono da considerarsi output principali del progetto</i>"</p> <p>15. Cost estimated under "External expertise and services" and "Equipment" line items were better detailed in section C9 as well as a justification regarding the presumed overestimation of the budget related to communication activities was provided. In particular, it is explained that the communication activities are coherent also because they will contribute to the indicator of risk</p>

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS		FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
				<p>reduction and resilience of population</p> <p>16. The AF reports a specific deliverable in WP2, namely "Definizione e calcolo dell'indice di resilienza" whose target value is 60%</p>
		Budget	Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF
			€ 2.500.000	€ 2.500.000
		Breakdown costs per PPs	n.a.	n.a.

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 20 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UNIME; PP3-UNIKORE; PP4-UoM; PP5-Arkimed srl.
2.1	I KNOW	<p>11. Indicating the set of outputs corresponding to the third project SO "aumentare il numero degli accordi commerciali in seguito alle accresciute competenze delle PMI per l'accesso e la presenza sui mercati", as well as an indicator and the measure unit (criterion 3.1.4 and criterion A3)</p> <p>12. Indicating the measure unit of the programme result indicators as well as the related reliable sources in the corresponding section of the AF (Section C.2.1 - table A) (criterion 3.1.5)</p> <p>13. Indicating how many enterprises will be engaged in cross border commercial activities in the frame of the project. at programme level (criterion 3.2)</p> <p>14. Indicating the coherence and the logical approach between the outputs corresponding to the SO 1 at project level "sostenere la creazione di start up innovative nei settori della sostenibilità ambientale e della qualità della vita e salute dell'uomo" and the SO 2 "sostenere le PMI nei processi di open innovation e di collaborazione tra loro in tale ambito". Currently, the two SOs seem to be not connected between them also taking into account their corresponding indicators as well as the target group (criterion 3.2)</p> <p>15. Erasing the deliverable 2.1.2 "layout grafico" (criterion 6.2)</p> <p>16. Merging some activities included in WP3 and WP5 (criterion 7.1)</p> <p>17. Aligning the working plan as reported in section C.7 with the date reported in WP3 and WP4. Reducing the timing of execution for the activities related to WP3 (15 months) due to its overestimation and its eventual merge with some action of WP 5. (criterion 7.3)</p> <p>18. Budget modification (criterion 8.1 and criterion 8.5))</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reporting the related reference in the column "DELIVERABLE" for all PPs within the excel section and the line items and expenditures where they are 	<p>11. The project indicates the additional output as well as the indicator and the measure unit, namely Output 6.2b "<i>n. 6 Accordi commerciali transfrontalieri stipulati</i>"</p> <p>12. The project provides the integration in Section C.2.1 - table A indicating that the project result "<i>contribuisce all'indicatore di programma 2.1 a - Imprese che attivano operazioni commerciali transfrontaliere, realizzando la stipula di numero 6 accordi commerciali transfrontalieri</i>"</p> <p>13. The project indicates n. 6 enterprises engaged in cross border commercial activities in the frame of the project</p> <p>14. The project revises the coherence and the logical approach between the outputs corresponding to the SO and SO2, improving the wording to describe them</p> <p>15. The deliverable 2.1.2 "layout grafico" was erased</p> <p>16. A series of activities related to the Circular economy, previously scheduled in WP3 were erased and included in WP5</p> <p>17. The working plan as well as WP3 and WP4 timing was aligned. Activities 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 were reduced of about two months.</p> <p>18. Budget modifications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Where missing the reference to the deliverable within the excel version of the A was reported - The line item "travel and accommodation was reduced of the amount of € 28.346, namely € 10.200 for LP, € 5.906 for PP3 and € 12.240 for PP4. - The line item "external expertise and services" for PP4 was reduced of € 217.699,90 - The depreciation rules for PP2 and PP5 for the line item "Equipment" were applied </p> <p>19. The AF includes the working plan updated</p> <p>20. The Lead partner clarifies that the costs related to the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for LP and PP5 were originally calculated net of VAT in line with the provision of par 9.6.1 of programme manual</p>

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILTY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		<p>missing;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reducing of the 40% the line item "travel and accomodotion" (totaling Euro € 28.281,50) for LP, PP 3 and PP 4 - Reducing of the 80% the line item "external expertise and services" (totaling Euro € 204.000) for PP4 - Application of the depreciation rules for PP2 and PP5 for the line item "Equipment" <p>19. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018 (criterion 7.3)</p> <p>20. Reducing the line items "external expertise and services" and "equipment" for the corresponding amount of VAT for LP and PP5 due to the fact that they declared to recover VAT</p>	
Budget		Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF
		€ 1.986.320,67	€ 1.548.103,70
Breakdown costs per PPs		PP1 – PSTS: € 503.031,68 PP2 – UNIME: € 250.041,25 PP3 – UKE: € 249.842,00 PP4 – UoM: € 783.433,00 PP5 – ARKIMEDE: € 199.972,74	PP1 – PSTS: € 492.831,68 PP2 – UNIME: € 228.954,25 PP3 – UKE: € 239.932,00 PP4 – UoM: € 422.015,63 PP5 – ARKIMEDE: € 164.370,14

S.O.	PROJECT	ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS	FEEDBACK OF THE LEAD PARTNER
		Submission of a new Application Form no later than the 20 th of November 2017	New Application Form submitted on 18 November 2017
		Submission of a new Application Form both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version	New Application Form submitted both open version word/excel and signed/scanned version
		Submission of the Annex 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner	Annexes 1, duly signed, dated and scanned by each partner: PP2-UoM, Institut of earth System; PP3-UNIPA, Dipartimento Scienze della Terra e del Mare; PP4-INGV, Sezione Palermo; PP5-Government of Malta-Ministry for Gozo
3.2	BESS	<p>6. Scheduling a series of activities in charge to MGOZ (as unique MT governmental entity within the partnership) that will assure the signature of the international agreement and will allow the INGV to further manage the Information System and further receive the institutional and scientific contribution by all competent Maltese authorities (criterion 4.3)</p> <p>7. Defining per each procurement the procedures to be followed. (criterion 5.2)</p> <p>8. Detailing specific activities in charge of UNIPA and the role of MGOZ as well as "who" does "what" within WP5 (criterion 7.2)</p> <p>9. Reshaping AF including the updated working plan starting from 01/2018 (criterion 7.3)</p> <p>10. Budget reduction</p> <p><u>Having regards to WP1</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a reduction of the entire WP 1 budget has to be applied till to the ceiling of 20% (mainly referred to the LP-UNIME under the line item "external expertise and services costs"); - a reduction of the line items "travel and accomodation" for LP and PP5 has to be done accordingly <p><u>Having regards to WP 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PP5-MGOZ: reshaping of the whole activity and corresponding budget having particular attention to the line item "external expertise and services costs". 	<p>6. In relation to the signature of the international agreement that will allow the INGV to manage the Information System, the AF schedules activities in WP5 in charge to MGOZ. In particolar the AF reports as follows "<i>Il Framework Istituzionale del progetto verrà avviato fin dall'inizio dal Ministero di Gozo che insieme al Ministero degli Esteri avvierà per le vie diplomatiche la proposta al Governo Italiano. L'accordo prevedrà la conservazione dei dati raccolti e la loro disseminazione agli enti pubblici e ai ricercatori. L'accordo prevedrà anche la partecipazione dei Ministeri dell'Università Maltese (Università di Malta) e Italiano (Università di Palermo e Messina)</i>". Furthermore the AF provides the information about the steps to sign the agreement.</p> <p>7. Section C.9 of the AF reports per each procurement the procedures to be followed.</p> <p>8. The AF details the activities in charge of DiSTem-UNIPA under the WP3 and WP4 and it provides the information already reported in the point n. 1 in relation to the role of MGOZ.</p> <p>9. The AF includes the working plan updated.</p> <p>10. The project budget was revised as follows:</p> <p><u>Having regards to WP 1</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - budgeted costs falling within WP1 were reduced till to the ceiling of 20% (€ 10.800 referred to the LP-UNIME under the line item "external expertise and services costs"); - budgeted costs falling within "travel and accommodation" for LP and PP5 were reduced. In particular, LP reduced its budget of € 8.000 and PP5 reduced its budget of € 6.000. <p><u>Having regards to WP 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PP5-MGOZ: the budget costs within "external expertise and services costs" were reshaped, although the total amount of line item is unchanged.

Budget	Budget previous AF	Budget revised AF
	€ 2.115.090	€ 2.074.359,00
Breakdown costs per PPs	PP1 – UNIME: € 1.201.090,00 PP2 – ICoD: € 464.500,00 PP3 – UNIPA: € 90.000,00 PP4 – INGV: € 124.000,00 PP5 – MGOZ: € 235.500,00	PP1 – UNIME: € 1.172.359,00 PP2 – ICoD: € 462.500,00 PP3 – UNIPA: € 88.000,00 PP4 – INGV: € 122.000,00 PP5 – MGOZ: € 229.500,00

Having regards to the examination made by the Joint Secretariat where it was highlighted the opportunity to include specific clauses within the subsidy contracts of NEWS, HARMONY and BESS projects able to assure the full achievement of the result indicators, the Executive Committee decides that such contents have to be included within the official letters of the MA communicating to the LPs the projects approval and not within the subsidy contracts.

*The meeting moved on to **agenda item 3 - Examination and approval of the answers to the counter-deductions submitted by the potential LPs whose projects have been evaluated with the following status: "project rejected".***

Following the examination of the counter-deductions received by the MA and sent by the lead partners of rejected projects duly in time and respecting the modalities provided by the Public Notice 01/2016, the Executive Committee approves the contents of the answers to the counterdeductions of MARINE MEETER, MARA, INCOMING, AGRIQUALECO projects that are part of this minutes. The Executive Committee requires to the MA to notify them to the lead partners.

Having regards to BIOWATER and BIOCENTRO project proposals, the Joint Secretariat informs the Executive Committee that the respective letters against the counter-deductions weren't drafted because the lead partners sent them to the e-mail address of the Joint Secretariat and not to the person responsible for the procedure within the MA, as per the provisions of the Public Notice 01/2016.

Gianpaolo Simone proposes that such counter-deductions have to be dealt with the Executive Committee considered that the office wrongly receiving the documentation has to forward it to the competent office in order to ensure a prompt answer.

For what above, Mr Simone proposes that the Joint Secretariat draws up the answers to BIOWATER and BIOCENTRO counter-deductions in order to allow the MA to launch the written procedure of the Executive Committee for their approval.

Finally, with reference to the counter-deductions received and examined, the representatives of the Executive Committee decide that there are no conditions that require the submission of such counter-deductions to the Monitoring Committee for its assessment as provided by art. 11 of the Public Notice 01/2016. For what above, the answers to the counter-deductions has hereby to be considered as a concluded procedure and the ExC requires that the MA includes such statement within the official letters to the beneficiaries.

At 18:00, the ExC concluded the activities of the first day of the meeting.

*On the 5 December 2017 at 09.30 the ExC continued the meeting by discussing the **agenda item 4 - Approval of the final lists – for the further submition to the MC – having regard to the project proposals falling within specific objectives 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 of the Public Notice 01/2016.***

The Joint Secretariat informs the Executive Committee that after a further revision of the quality check grids, it was noted a calculation mistake referred to the criterion 1.5 of SIMASEED, AGRIQUALECO, TRANSEAT, SAFE HAVEN, SAFE and SEMPER projects. More specifically, in correspondence to the criterion 1.5, the quality check grids didn't report the excel authomatic calculation of the score attributed to such criterion.

For what above, the Executive Committee revises the quality check grids of SIMASEED, AGRIQUEALCO, TRANSEAT, SAFE HAVEN, SAFE and SEMPER projects that obtain the final score as hereunder reported.

Following the approval of the revised application forms as per previous point 2, the following final ranking lists of the project proposals falling within specific objectives 2.1, 3.1 and 3.2 of Public Notice 01/2016 are approved. The following list of the project proposals ineligible for the evaluation phase under the specific objectives 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 of Public Notice 01/2016 are also approved.

Table 1 – Ranking list Specific Objective 2.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo <i>Acronym</i>	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
80	ENISIE	Tree S.r.l.	The Hub Sicilia Società Cooperativa	Malta Enterprise	Malta Council for Voluntary Sector		1.439.856	1.439.856	-	401	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.223.878
65	I KNOW	PARCO SCIENTIFICO E TECNOLOGICO DELLA SICILIA S.C.P.A.	Università degli Studi di Messina	Libera Università degli Studi di Enna "Kore""	University of Malta	Arkimedē srl	1.548.104	1.548.104	-	349	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.315.888

Table 2 – Ranking list Specific Objective 3.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo <i>Acronym</i>	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIAB ILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
16	SiMaSeed	UNICT Dipartimento di S. Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali	UNIMA- Argotti Botanic Gardens and Resource Centre	Regione Siciliana - Dipartimento Regionale Sviluppo Rurale e Territoriale	Ministry for Gozo					1.884.981	1.884.981	0	415	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.602.234
31	HARMONY	Università degli Studi di Palermo	Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale	CONSIGLIO NAZIONALE DELLE RICERCHE	ASSESSORATO DELL'AGRICOLT URA DELLO SVILUPPO RURALE E DELLA PESCA MEDITERRANEA	University of Malta	DEPARTM ENT OF FISHERIES AND AQUACUL TURE	Environment and Resources Authority		1.675.246	1.675.246		395	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.377.468
8	INCOMING INnovative COatings Marine protectioN	UNIME	CNR	UNIMA						1.502.819	1.502.819	0	312	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
93	AGRIQUEALCO	UNIPA	Consorzio di Ricerca Innovazione Tecnologica della Serricoltura	Comune di Castronovo di Sicilia	COMUNE DI FAVIGNANA - ISOLE EGADI	Xaghra Local Council				1.631.284	1.500.000	131.284	282	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
96	MARINE MEETER	CNR - IAMC Messina	UNIMA	Environment and Resources Authority	Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture	INFN - Laboratori Nazionali del Sud	UNIPA	Area Marina Protetta Isole Pelagie	Comune di Milazzo	2.100.000	1.900.000	200.000	257	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	

N. Ulysses	Acronimo <i>Acronym</i>	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	Partner 8	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIAB ILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
98	RIWETNET	Gozo Regional Committee	MIEMA	Libero Consorzio Comunale di Ragusa	Comune di Pachino	Parco Fluviale dell'Alcantara				1.384.640	1.384.640	-	254	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
71	ApesIndicum	University of Malta	Unict - DIBA	Mellieha local Council	Città Metropolitana di Catania					1.994.968	1.994.968	-	245	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
59	MARA	UNIPA	University of Malta	Comune di Custonaci	Building Industry Consultative Council					1.150.000	1.135.104	14.896	236	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
56	BioWater	Gharb Local Council	GENISTA FOUNDATION	IZS SICILIA	COMUNE DI GELA					2.070.000	2.070.000	-	208	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	
48	MediBioRes	Consorzio Universitario della Provincia di Palermo	UNIPA Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie e Forestali	Azienda Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente	Ente Parco delle Madonie	UNIMA Departement of Biology				1.950.000	1.950.000	-	199	Progetto rigettato / rejected non- eligible project	

Table 3 – Ranking list Specific Objective 3.2

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
79	CALYPSO SOUTH	University of Malta	Universita' di Palermo	Transport Malta	Universita' di Catania	Agenzia Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente della Sicilia	Istituto Ambiente Marino Costiero	Civil Protection Department	2.500.000	2.500.000	-	454	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	2.125.000
57	SIMIT-THARSY	Regione Siciliana Presidenza-Dipartimento della protezione civile	UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO	UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI CATANIA	CIVIL PROTECTION DEPARTMENT MALTA	UNIVERSITY OF MALTA			2.500.000	2.500.000	-	407	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	2.125.000
5	BESS	UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MESSINA	University of Malta - Euro-Mediterranean Centre on Insular Coastal Dynamics	Università di Palermo, Dipartimento Scienze della Terra e del Mare	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia-Sezione Palermo	Government of Malta-Ministry for Gozo			2.074.359	2.074.359		347	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.763.205
60	NEWS	LIBERA UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI ENNA "KORE"	Università degli Studi di Catania	University of Malta	Libero Consorzio Comunale di Ragusa				1.309.344	1.309.344		325	progetto ammissibile al finanziamento - project eligible for funding	1.112.943

N. Ulysses	Acronimo Acronym	LP	Partner 2	Partner 3	Partner 4	Partner 5	Partner 6	Partner 7	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (ERDF+NC+PF)	TOTAL PUBLIC FUNDS (ERDF+NC)	PRIVATE FUNDS	VALUTAZIONE SCORE	CONDIZIONE DI ELEGGINIBILITÀ ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	BUDGET FINANZIABILE BUDGET FOR FUNDING (ERDF)
81	TRANSEAT	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia	University of Malta	Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare	Istituto Superiore per la Ricerca dell'Ambiente				1.685.825	1.685.825	-	277	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	
61	VOLARE	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia – Osservatorio Etneo – sezione di Catania	INAF Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania	University of Malta	Università Palermo	Stazione di Osservazioni Climatiche ENEA sull'isola di Lampedusa			1.398.769	1.398.769	-	257	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	
77	SAFE	University of Malta	University of Palermo	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e vulcanologia					1.089.172	1.089.172	-	231	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	
99	SAFE HAVEN - PORTO SICURO	MINISTRY OF HEALTH (Malta)	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche	Università degli Studi di Palermo	Agenzia Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente SICILIA	Comune di Palermo	Protezione Civile Maltese		1.819.921	1.819.921	-	173	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	
53	SEMPER	Università degli Studi di Messina	University of Malta						1.303.221	1.303.221	-	168	Progetto rigettato / rejected non-eligible project	

Table 4 - List of the project proposals ineligible for the evaluation phase – Specific Objective 2.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo del progetto: / Project acronym	Titolo progetto / Project Title:	LP	STATUS	MOTIVAZIONE / REASON
45	CLUSTERBIONET	Clustering e Networking per lo sviluppo e il potenziamento delle PMI – Biomediche, Biotecnologiche, Bioinformatiche.	Istituto Giglio di Cefalù	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 2 e 5 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 2 and 5
78	CoWoMed 2020	Mediterranean CoWorking 2020	Cooperativa Elaborazione Dati e Assistenza Società Cooperativa	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
94	Share.TEC	Sharing Technology and Innovation for Competitiveness of SMEs	CNA Associazione Provinciale di Ragusa	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
101	INNO CRAFT	INNOVATION IN LOCAL TRADITIONAL CRAFT	Gharb Local Council	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 1 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 1
103	IG	INCUBA-GREEN	Malta College of Arts, Science and Technology	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Plico arrivato oltre il termine massimo fissato dall'Avviso Envelop sent after the expiring date indicated within the Public Notice

Table 5 - List of the project proposals ineligible for the evaluation phase – Specific Objective 3.1

N. Ulysses	Acronimo del progetto: / Project acronym	Titolo progetto / Project Title:	LP	STATUS	MOTIVAZIONE / REASON
33	BIOCENTRO	Biodiversity and Environmental Conservation Research in the Central Mediterranean	University of Malta	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 8
2	TRANSGRASS	TRANSplantation of seaGRASS	ISAFoM - Institute for mediterranean agriculture and forest systems	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 5 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 5
39	FAST	Fight Alien Species Transborder	Università di Catania	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 5 e mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 5 and lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
49	GOT SEA	GOODNESS OF THE SEA	Comune di Castellammare del Golfo	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Plico arrivato oltre il termine massimo fissato dall'Avviso/Envelope sent after the expiring date indicated within the Public Notice
67	BEST	Beaches promotE Sustainable Tourism	Università degli Studi di Palermo	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto ai criteri 7 e 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criteria 7 and 8

N. Ulysses	Acronimo del progetto: / Project acronym	Titolo progetto / Project Title:	LP	STATUS	MOTIVAZIONE / REASON
72	CEFAD	Collateral effects of anchored Fish aggregation device (aFAD) on benthic communities and surrounding valuable stocks (Shrimp and Norway lobster); comparing two contiguous aFAD grounds (SE Sicily an	Università di Messina	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità degli aspetti finanziari rispetto al criterio 9 Lack of Financial aspects compliance with criterion 9
76	MITHOS	Rete Maltese e ITaliana di osservatori idrografici e biologici per il monitoraggio a lungo termine in uno Scenario di cambiamento climatico/Maltese and ITalian Hydrographic and biological Observorie	Agenzia nazionale per le nuove tecnologie, l'energia e lo sviluppo economico sostenibile	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
83	REMMeloon 2020	Restoration and Management of Central Mediterranean Coastal Lagoons	Ministry for Transport and Infrastructure	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto ai criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterio 8
84	AReCECC	Achieving Resilience in Coastal Ecosystems to Climate Change – Restoring and Managing Natura 2000 sites towards climate resilience	Malta College of Arts, Science and Technology	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 5 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 5
89	UTBL	Under The Blue Line	Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Sicilia	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto ai criteri 7 e 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criteria 7 and 8
92	BioVine	Biodiversità in vigneto	Istituto regionale del Vino e dell'Olio	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / <i>Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.</i>	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 7 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 7

Table 6 - List of the project proposals ineligible for the evaluation phase – Specific Objective 3.2

N. Ulysses	Acronimo del progetto: / Project acronym	Titolo progetto / Project Title:	LP	STATUS	MOTIVAZIONE / REASON
69	GIFLUID	Green Infrastructures to mitigate FLood risks in Urban and sub-urban areas and to Improve the quality of Drainage water	Università degli Studi di Catania - Di3A	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
15	S4	Smart System for a Sustainable Sea monitoring	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
26	SUMMER	SUSTainable ManageMent of coastal Erosion	Università di Palermo-Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e del Mare	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità del partenariato rispetto al criterio 8 Lack of partnership compliance with criterion 8
86	SURF OFF	Metodi innovativi per il controllo e il monitoraggio dei reflui di produzione agricola, industriale e degli allevamenti di acquacoltura	Agenzia Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente - Sicilia	Inammissibile alla fase di valutazione fornendo le dovute motivazioni / Ineligible for the evaluation phase with supporting arguments.	Mancata conformità amministrativa rispetto al criterio 4 Lack of administrative compliance with criterion 4

*The meeting moved on to **agenda item 5 -Examination and approval of the admissibility grid regarding the project proposals falling within specific objectives 2.2.***

Following an in-depth examination by the Managing Authority on the legal status of the project's lead partner falling within the SO 2.2, the Executive Committee approves the administrative compliance grid of JOB MATCH project which is part of this minutes. The Executive Committee requires to the MA to notify it to the lead partner.

*The meeting moved on to **agenda item 7 – Any other business** where the following issue is inserted "Eligibility for further evaluation of MEDNETS project proposal". Following the appeal (ref. 2508 of 2017) proposed by Ass.For.Seo Società Consortile A.R.L., the Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Sicilia (Sezione Prima) on the 23rd of November 2017 ordered "its eligibility for further step of the procedure" and "the settlement of the merit treatment of the appeal on 22 November 2018". Thus, the Executive Committee takes note that the project is "eligible for further evaluation".*

Francesca Angori refers about the opportunity to speed up the procedures to select the 4th member of the Joint Secretariat due to the huge work up to the current members of the Joint Secretariat. On this regards, Mrs Angori reminds that the JS has immediately to ensure both the launch of the approved projects (through the supporting activities to beneficiaries to sign the contracts, to train on the management, monitoring and claiming) and the launch of a new call for proposals for the allocation of the savings failed within the Public Notice 01/2016.

The Joint Secretariat highlights the opportunity to launch "targeted calls" able to ensure the submission of relevant projects able to better adhere to the needs of the programme's results and outputs indicators. The Joint Secretariat also highlights the need to revise the selection criteria in line with a targeted call and to foresee external evaluators. What above could ensure more adequate instruments to the procedure compatibly with the available human resources.

At h 15:00, the ExC concluded the activities of the second day of the meeting.